E-4: DESKTOP REVIEW CHECKLISTS

	Desktop Review	
General Information	Grantee:	
	Contract Number:	
	Grant Program Year:	
	Grant Type [PF, HO, ED, DN, LS]:	
	Entity [Village, City, Town, Parish]:	
Contacts	Chief Elected Official:	
	Consultant:	
	Engineer:	
	LGR:	
Dates	Date of Application:	
	Authorization to Incur Costs:	
	Transmittal of Contract:	
	Consultant Cleared:	
	Engineer Cleared:	
	Consultant Contract:	
	Engineer Contract.	
	CDBG Contract Ends:	
	Desktop Review Letter Sent:	
	·	
mounts/Activities/Nat'l Objective	Grant Award Amount:	
•	Percent Drawn to Date:	
	Local Funds:	
	Other Funds:	
	Activity:	
	National Objective:	
	ORIGINAL Budgeted Amount for Activity:	
	Most recent REVISED Budgeted Amount for Activity:	
	Expenditures to Date:	
	Activity:	
	ORIGINAL Budgeted Amount for Activity:	
	Most recent REVISED Budgeted Amount for Activity:	
	Expenditures to Date:	
	Activity:	
	ORIGINAL Budgeted Amount for Activity:	
	Most recent REVISED Budgeted Amount for Activity:	
	Expenditures to Date:	
	Activity:	
	ORIGINAL Budgeted Amount for Activity:	
	Most recent REVISED Budgeted Amount for Activity:	
	Expenditures to Date:	
	Experiorures to Date.	
	Grant Award (ORIGINAL BUDGET):	\$0.00
	Grant Award (REVISED BUDGET):	\$0.00

	Anti-displacement			
		Yes	No	N/A
1.	Are the following included in the Residential Anti-displacement and Relocation Plan documents: a. Residential Anti-displacement and Relocation Plan b. resolution adopting the Plan c. Residential Anti-displacement/Relocation Certification 	<u> </u>		
	d. if applicable, regulations, information booklets, relocation claim forms			
2.	Does the Plan identify a person who is responsible for displacement and relocation compliance?			
	~ If Yes , identify:			
3.	Has a person or business been displaced as a result of this program? ~ If Yes, complete the Residential Relocation/Displacement Checklist (Part 2). ~ If Yes, was the acquisition subject to the Uniform Act?			
	~ If Yes, complete the Anti-displacement Checklist (Part 2).			
	Citizen Participation			
1.	Does grantee have an adopted Citizen Participation Plan? ~ If Yes , was the plan adopted prior to the first public hearing? ~ If No , was it prepared before hearing but adopted after hearing w/o changes?		Yes	<u>No</u>
2.	 Does the plan provide citizens with reasonable access to local meetings, information concerning the State's method of distributing funds and the use of funds under Title !? 			
	 provide for LCDBG-related public hearings to obtain views on the development of needs, the review of proposed activities and the review of program performance? provide for and encourages participation, particularly persons of low/mod income residing in blighted areas and/or in areas where CDBG funds will be used? 			
	 provide TA to facilitate participation where requested? address accommodations at hearings for non-English speaking persons? address accommodations at public hearings for persons with disabilities? 			
	 provide for public hearings to obtain views concerning program amendments? Was a program amendment requested and approved? If YES, was a public hearing conducted prior to the request? 			
	 provide for a public hearing on performance at closeout? 			
3.	Does the Citizen Participation Plan include a complaint procedure? ~ If Yes , does the complaint procedure identify;			-
	 how a citizen should file a complaint? the manner in which a complaint is processed? 			
4	a response time to the complainant - maximum of 15 working days? Did first public notice for the public hearing state the following would be discussed?			
4.	 Did first public notice for the public hearing state the following would be discussed? amount of funds available for community development and housing needs the range of eligible activities and the estimated amounts for activities that will benefit low/mod income persons 			
	 the applicant's plans for minimizing displacement and the provision of benefits should displacement occur 			
	information of the applicant's past LCDBG performance			
5.	Did the notice encourage citizens, particularly those of low/mod income & residents of slum/blight areas to submit their views on community development and housing needs?			
6.	Did the notice state accommodations would be provided for non-English speaking and disabled individuals?			
7.	Were five calendar days allowed for notification of the public hearing?			

			Yes	No
8.	Is there a roster of those in attendance of the public hearing?			
9.	Are there minutes of the public hearing?			
	~ If Yes , do they state the items in #4 above were discussed?			
	(Reference to items is not necessary if no one was in attendance.)			
10.	Was the second public notice published:			
	After the first public hearing was held?			
	 After all forms in the application were dated? Prior to application submittal?			
	Thor to application submittan:			
11.	Was the second public notice published a minimum of 7 calendar days prior to application submittal?			
12.	Was the following information included in the grantee's second public notice?			
12.	 proposed objectives 			
	 proposed activities 			
	location of proposed activities			
	 activity amounts application submittal date 			-
	 the opportunity to comment on the application and the place and time to review 			
	the application			
	Civil Rights			
Secti	on 504			
<u>Sum</u>	mary of Previous Actions Taken	Yes	No	N/A
1.	Has the grantee prepared a "Summary of Previous Actions Taken"?			
	a. Does it identify when the grantee conducted its Self-Evaluation?			
	b. According to the "Summary", did the Self-Evaluation address:⇒ Physical Accessibility			
	⇒ Communications			
	⇒ Employment			
Phys	ical Accessibility			
2.	According to the "Summary of Previous Actions Taken", a. did Self-Evaluation identify all non-housing facilities owned by grantee?			
	b. were facilities identified as "new" and "existing"? ("existing" means constructed,			
	altered or designed before July 11, 1988; "new" means after this date.)			
	c. did the Self-Evaluation identify any physical barriers that impede			
	accessibility to any programs or activities? ~ If Yes , continue.			
	d. did the grantee make physical alterations to provide for accessibility?			
	e. were all physical barriers identified in the Self-Evaluation removed?			
_	~ If No , continue.			
3.	For "existing" facilities with continuing physical barriers, according to the "Summary of Previous a. have new policies or practices been adopted or existing ones modified or	Actions",		
	revised in order to achieve accessibility such as relocation, home visits,			
	selective alterations? (24 CFR 8.21(2))			
	b. has community's adopted policies and/or practices been modified to			
	achieve accessibility for all physical barriers identified? ~ If No , continue.			
	c. has grantee determined that making facility accessible and usable by			
	individuals with handicaps would impose either an undue financial and			
	administrative burden, or demonstrated that it would result in a fundamental			
	alteration in the nature of the program or activity? (24 CFR 8.21 (b)(I)(ii)) d. did the grantee identify any facilities as "new"? ~ If Yes , continue.			-
	e. did the grantee identify all "new" facilities as accessible?			_
	~ If No. inaccessibility must be addressed in Transition Plan below.			

Revised 4.1.24 3 of 8

		Yes	No	N/A
Comn	nunications			
4.	According to the "Summary of Previous Actions Taken", a. did the Self-Evaluation identify any impediments to communications accessibility? ~ If Yes , continue. b. did the grantee adopt policies to remedy impediments?		<u> </u>	<u></u>
Emplo	pyment			
5.	According to the "Summary of Previous Actions Taken", a. did the Self-Evaluation identify any practices discriminatory towards disabled persons? (i.e., advertising, tests, selection criteria, job assignment, etc.) ~ If Yes , continue. b. did the grantee adopt policies to remedy impediments?			
Curre	nt Policies			
6.	 a. does grantee use a functioning TDD or the LA Relay System? b. if the LA Relay System is used, is it advertised in the newspaper? c. does grantee operate a 24 hour emergency service? d, does grantee have any disabled employees? e. If yes to 6d., are reasonable accommodations made for a qualified applicant or employee with a disability? (restructuring/relocating job, modifying schedule, acquiring or modifying equipment, providing reader/interpreter. This can be a policy statement). 		<u></u>	
Other	Section 504 Requirements, as applicable			
	tee has less than 15 employees, go to 'Transition Plan'. Otherwise continue.			
7.	 a. Has grantee designated a Section 504 coordinator? b. Adopted a grievance procedure for complaints alleging prohibited actions? (File should include the grievance procedure and resolution adopting it.) c. Complied with notice in Section 504 handbook which states that grantee "does not discrimina participants, beneficiaries, applicants, employees or unions or organizations with whom they collective bargaining agreements, in admission or access to or treatment or employment treat or employment in its federally assisted programs or activities"? 	have	<u> </u>	_
	 i. If Yes, was the initial notice made within 90 days of receipt of the executed contract and once a year thereafter? ii. If Yes, does notice list the Section 504 coordinator? iii. Note method grantee used to make notification. 			<u> </u>
Grant 8.	ee's Transition Plan (Subsequent to Evaluation & original Transition Plan) Has grantee acquired an "existing" facility constructed prior to 1988 that is not accessible and will renovate prior to occupying it? OR, Has the U.S. Justice Dept. required the grantee to make a facility physically accessible? OR, If either of the above was answered YES, did the grantee complete a self-evaluation for this project? ~ If Yes, continue.			

		Yes	No	N/A
9.	Has a plan been developed listing all steps needed to complete the changes? ∼ If Yes ,			
	a. Does the plan identify a compliance officer?			
	b. Does it list handicap resources used in writing the plan?			
	c. Does the plan identify all impediments?			
	d. Does it describe how all facilities will be made accessible?			
	e. Is there a time schedule for rectifying all impediments?			
	Note time period -			
	i. Are the renovations on schedule?			
	ii. If <i>No</i> , should the time schedule be revised?			
Limit	ed English Proficiency			
10.	Did the grantee conduct the four part analysis?			
11.	Did the analysis determine that the grantee did not meet the "safe harbor" requirements?			
12.	Did the grantee prepare and adopt a Language Access Plan in the first year			
	of the grant?			
13.	Has the Language Access Plan been reviewed/updated annually?			
Fair I	Housing			
		Vaa	Ma	AI/A
14	FAIR HOUSING ASSESSMENT:	Yes	No	N/A
14.	FAIR HOUSING ASSESSMENT: a. Did the grantee complete the assessment within its jurisdiction?	Yes	No	N/A
14.	a. Did the grantee complete the assessment within its jurisdiction?	Yes	No	N/A
14.	a. Did the grantee complete the assessment within its jurisdiction?b. Is the assessment complete and are the responses reasonable?	Yes	No	N/A
14.	a. Did the grantee complete the assessment within its jurisdiction?b. Is the assessment complete and are the responses reasonable?c. Do all "N/A's" have an explanation or are confirmed by the numbers in	<u>Yes</u>	No No	N/A
14.	a. Did the grantee complete the assessment within its jurisdiction?b. Is the assessment complete and are the responses reasonable?	Yes	<u>No</u>	N/A
14.	a. Did the grantee complete the assessment within its jurisdiction?b. Is the assessment complete and are the responses reasonable?c. Do all "N/A's" have an explanation or are confirmed by the numbers in Part I of the assessment?	Yes	No	N/A
14.	 a. Did the grantee complete the assessment within its jurisdiction? b. Is the assessment complete and are the responses reasonable? c. Do all "N/A's" have an explanation or are confirmed by the numbers in Part I of the assessment? d. Does Part II of the assessment indicate the contact or source of 	Yes	<u>No</u>	N/A
14.	 a. Did the grantee complete the assessment within its jurisdiction? b. Is the assessment complete and are the responses reasonable? c. Do all "N/A's" have an explanation or are confirmed by the numbers in Part I of the assessment? d. Does Part II of the assessment indicate the contact or source of information and describe the policies and/or practices? e. Did the assessment identify any impediments? f. Is Part III marked "N/A" only in the case of Part II 	Yes	<u>No</u>	N/A
14.	 a. Did the grantee complete the assessment within its jurisdiction? b. Is the assessment complete and are the responses reasonable? c. Do all "N/A's" have an explanation or are confirmed by the numbers in Part I of the assessment? d. Does Part II of the assessment indicate the contact or source of information and describe the policies and/or practices? e. Did the assessment identify any impediments? f. Is Part III marked "N/A" only in the case of Part II being marked "N/A" or "None"? 	<u>Yes</u>	No	N/A
14.	 a. Did the grantee complete the assessment within its jurisdiction? b. Is the assessment complete and are the responses reasonable? c. Do all "N/A's" have an explanation or are confirmed by the numbers in Part I of the assessment? d. Does Part II of the assessment indicate the contact or source of information and describe the policies and/or practices? e. Did the assessment identify any impediments? f. Is Part III marked "N/A" only in the case of Part II being marked "N/A" or "None"? g. Has grantee taken steps to remedy impediments? 	Yes	No	N/A
14.	 a. Did the grantee complete the assessment within its jurisdiction? b. Is the assessment complete and are the responses reasonable? c. Do all "N/A's" have an explanation or are confirmed by the numbers in Part I of the assessment? d. Does Part II of the assessment indicate the contact or source of information and describe the policies and/or practices? e. Did the assessment identify any impediments? f. Is Part III marked "N/A" only in the case of Part II being marked "N/A" or "None"? g. Has grantee taken steps to remedy impediments? h. Has the assessment been signed by the Preparer and the CEO? 	Yes	No	N/A
14.	 a. Did the grantee complete the assessment within its jurisdiction? b. Is the assessment complete and are the responses reasonable? c. Do all "N/A's" have an explanation or are confirmed by the numbers in Part I of the assessment? d. Does Part II of the assessment indicate the contact or source of information and describe the policies and/or practices? e. Did the assessment identify any impediments? f. Is Part III marked "N/A" only in the case of Part II being marked "N/A" or "None"? g. Has grantee taken steps to remedy impediments? 	Yes	No	N/A
14.	 a. Did the grantee complete the assessment within its jurisdiction? b. Is the assessment complete and are the responses reasonable? c. Do all "N/A's" have an explanation or are confirmed by the numbers in Part I of the assessment? d. Does Part II of the assessment indicate the contact or source of information and describe the policies and/or practices? e. Did the assessment identify any impediments? f. Is Part III marked "N/A" only in the case of Part II being marked "N/A" or "None"? g. Has grantee taken steps to remedy impediments? h. Has the assessment been signed by the Preparer and the CEO? i. Do grantees' records maintain the assessment and actions taken? 	Yes		N/A
	 a. Did the grantee complete the assessment within its jurisdiction? b. Is the assessment complete and are the responses reasonable? c. Do all "N/A's" have an explanation or are confirmed by the numbers in Part I of the assessment? d. Does Part II of the assessment indicate the contact or source of information and describe the policies and/or practices? e. Did the assessment identify any impediments? f. Is Part III marked "N/A" only in the case of Part II being marked "N/A" or "None"? g. Has grantee taken steps to remedy impediments? h. Has the assessment been signed by the Preparer and the CEO? i. Do grantees' records maintain the assessment and actions taken? 	Yes	No	N/A
	 a. Did the grantee complete the assessment within its jurisdiction? b. Is the assessment complete and are the responses reasonable? c. Do all "N/A's" have an explanation or are confirmed by the numbers in Part I of the assessment? d. Does Part II of the assessment indicate the contact or source of information and describe the policies and/or practices? e. Did the assessment identify any impediments? f. Is Part III marked "N/A" only in the case of Part II being marked "N/A" or "None"? g. Has grantee taken steps to remedy impediments? h. Has the assessment been signed by the Preparer and the CEO? i. Do grantees' records maintain the assessment and actions taken? Have any fair housing complaints been recorded? ~ If Yes, explain.	Yes		N/A
	 a. Did the grantee complete the assessment within its jurisdiction? b. Is the assessment complete and are the responses reasonable? c. Do all "N/A's" have an explanation or are confirmed by the numbers in Part I of the assessment? d. Does Part II of the assessment indicate the contact or source of information and describe the policies and/or practices? e. Did the assessment identify any impediments? f. Is Part III marked "N/A" only in the case of Part II being marked "N/A" or "None"? g. Has grantee taken steps to remedy impediments? h. Has the assessment been signed by the Preparer and the CEO? i. Do grantees' records maintain the assessment and actions taken? Have any fair housing complaints been recorded? ~ If Yes, explain. a. Was complaint sent to HUD if discrimination was alleged? 	Yes	No	N/A
	 a. Did the grantee complete the assessment within its jurisdiction? b. Is the assessment complete and are the responses reasonable? c. Do all "N/A's" have an explanation or are confirmed by the numbers in Part I of the assessment? d. Does Part II of the assessment indicate the contact or source of information and describe the policies and/or practices? e. Did the assessment identify any impediments? f. Is Part III marked "N/A" only in the case of Part II being marked "N/A" or "None"? g. Has grantee taken steps to remedy impediments? h. Has the assessment been signed by the Preparer and the CEO? i. Do grantees' records maintain the assessment and actions taken? Have any fair housing complaints been recorded? ~ If Yes, explain.	Yes	No	N/A

	Environmental			
1.	Were all activities exempt from the environmental review process?	Yes	No	N/A
	~ If No , complete remainder of checklist.			
2.	Did any tribe request to be a consulting party?			
	~ If Yes , what were the conditions of their request?			
			_	
	~ Were the conditions of their request met?			
3.	Did the Historic Preservation Officer request additional information before or during construction?			
	~ If Yes , is there documentation to show compliance?			
	Financial Management			
Finan	ncial Reporting Reference: 2 CFR 200.302(a)			
		Yes	No	N/A
1.	Are there any delinquent annual financial reports?			
Interr	nal Controls Reference: 24 CFR 85.20 (b)(3)			
2.	Were there internal control findings relevant to the CDBG program in the most recent audit?	Yes	<u>No</u>	N/A
3,	Are all employees handling financial transactions bonded?			
	Labor Standards			
Prime	e Contractors Only (answer: Yes, No or N/A)	1	2	3
1.	Did the local government receive a fully executed Verification of Wage Decision and Contractor Eligibility form from OCD prior to the award of the construction contract? Yes No			
2.	Does a resolution from the local government state that the award will be contingent on verification of wage decision and contractor eligibility?			
3.	♥ ♥ Was the "Notice of Contract Award" sent to OCD? No Yes			
4.	₩ Was the Notice of Contract Award rec'd by OCD within 30 days of the award date?			
5.	▼ ▼ Was the construction contract awarded more than 90 days after bid opening?			
	Procurement			
Mino	rity Business Enterprise (MBE)			
1.	Did grantee encourage and/or achieve MBE participation? (Methods: SBA, newspaper ads, direct solicitation, divided project into smaller contracts, etc.) ~ If <i>No</i> , explain.			

	Public Improvement	ents			
1.	Did DHH review/approve plans/specs for the sewer/water project?				
	~ If Yes, is DHH's letter dated prior to start of construction?				
2.*	Is a project sign prominently displayed in <u>each</u> target area of the p	roject? Program Performance			
3.	a. Identify resident inspector:				
	b. Was inspector's Qualification Certificate sent to OCD prior to o	onstruction?			
4.	Was ad for bids published once a week for 3 weeks according to (First ad must appear at least 25 days prior to bid opening and		holiday.)		
5.	Did advertisement for bids include time/place of bid opening?				
6.	Did advertisement for bids call bidders attention to the following? conditions of employment and minimum wages Section 3				
	• E. O. 11246				-
	Segregated Facility	Civil Rights			
7.	(answer: Yes , No or N/A) Were there minutes of the bid opening and a tabulation of bids? ~ Did grantee send OCD the itemized bid tabulation?	Contractors:	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>
8.	Was the contract awarded to the lowest responsible bidder?				
9.	Was the contract awarded within the time frame established in Sta [45 days; time frame may be extended in 30-day increments by m				
10.	Will grantee transfer ownership of system to another entity?	-	Y		No
	~ If Yes , was this approved during application review?		Y	es	No

NOTE: Unless otherwise noted with an asterisk (*), questions on the Public Improvements Checklist are in the procurement compliance area.

Technical Assistance Needed

Program Areas Reviewed	Identify Problems to be Corrected
Acquisition (05)	
7.64di.eii.eii (66)	
A 10 10 1 1 1/4 A	
Anti-displacement (14)	
Citizen Participation (12)	
Citizen Participation(13)	
Civil Rights: 504 / EO / MBE/Sec. 3/FH (04)	
MBE/Sec. 3/FH (04)	
Economic Development (14)	
Environmental (02)	
Financial Management (01)	
Labor Standards (03)	
National Objective (10)	
Program Performance-	
Administration (09)	
Procurement (08)	
Public Improvements	
Record Keeping (12)	
\ /	Tachnical Assistance Needed Dags 1 of 1

Technical Assistance Needed

Page 1 of 1