E-3: ON-SITE MONITORING CHECKLISTS

Genera	Information Grantee:			
	Contract Number:			
	Grant Program Year:			
	Grant Type [PF, HO, ED, DN, LS]:			
0 1	Entity [Village, City, Town, Parish]:			
Contac				
	Consultant: Engineer:			
	LGR:			
	LOR.			
Dates	Date of Application:			
	Authorization to Incur Costs:			
	Transmittal of Contract:			
	Consultant Cleared:			
	Engineer Cleared:			
	Consultant Contract:			
	Engineer Contract:			
	CDBG Contract Ends: Monitoring Visit:			
	Monitoring Visit.			
Amoun	ts/Activities/Nat'l Objective Grant Award Amount:			
	Percent Drawn to Date:			
	Local Funds:			
	Other Funds:			
	Activity:			
	National Objective:			
	ORIGINAL Budgeted Amount for Activity:			
	Most recent REVISED Budgeted Amount for Activity:			
	Expenditures to Date: Activity:			
	National Objective:			
	ORIGINAL Budgeted Amount for Activity:			
	Most recent REVISED Budgeted Amount for Activity:			
	Expenditures to Date:			
	Activity:			
	National Objective:			
	ORIGINAL Budgeted Amount for Activity:			
	Most recent REVISED Budgeted Amount for Activity: Expenditures to Date:			
	Activity:			
	National Objective:			
	ORIGINAL Budgeted Amount for Activity:			
	Most recent REVISED Budgeted Amount for Activity:			
	Expenditures to Date:			
	Grant Award (ORIGINAL BUDGET):			60.00
	Grant Award (REVISED BUDGET): Total Expenditures to Date:			\$0.00
Acquis		Yes	No	N/A
	Did application include acquisition by purchase or donation?			
Anti-Di	placement	Yes	No	N/A
	Does plan identify a person who is responsible for displacement and			
	relocation compliance? ~ If Yes , note name of contact person:			
3.	Nas a person or business displaced as a result of this program?			
Einene	☐ If Yes, print Part 2 of the Checklist.	Va-	Ma	M/A
Financi		Yes	No	N/A
	Does grantee have more than one open CDBG grant? Date 1st administration invoice: Period covered:			
	Date 1st administration invoice: Period covered: Period covered:			
	raiou corace de la company de			

	Bit - t - t - t - t - t - t - t - t - t -			Yes	No	N/A
1.	Did grantee have prior ap	proval from OCD to use Forc Prime Contractor 1	Prime Contractor 2	Prime Cont	ractor 3	_
	Contractor	Filme Consuctor 1	Time contractor 2	Prime Com	autor o	
	Bid Opening Date					
	Date of Eligibility					
	Date of Contract Award					
	Lock-In Date					
	Total Contract Award Work Description					_
	A. Decision Type					-
	Effective Decision #					
	Effective Mod #					
	Effective Issue Date					_
	B. Decision Type Effective Decision #					_
	Effective Mod #					_
	Issue Date					_
ocu	rement					_
4.	Were grant funds used fo	r all or part of a professional :	service contract(s)?	Yes	No	
	(If Yes, continue.)					
5.	Consulting Fees OCD allo	wed for;	Engineering Fees	OCD allowed for;		
	pre-agreemer	nt: \$		pre-agreement: \$		
	administratio		b	asic engineering: \$		
				inspection: \$		
				topo survey: \$ property survey: \$		
				testing: \$		
				struction staking: \$		
			other:	\$		
14	Amount grantee awarded	for general admin	S			
		3-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11				
_	am Performance-Adminis					
3.	is the program progressin	g in accordance with the time	e schedule?			
	d Keeping					
3.		other on-going grant, condition	onally closed grant or grant		Yes	No
ıblia	that received a final close Facilities	out in the last four years?				
IDIIC		oring a street project.				
	Liner X	omig a or out project.	(answer: Yes, No	or N/A)		
	Enter bid ad publication d	ates for each prime contracto				
			Prim e			
0	Dudget changes accepted	han 100/ as assessed absolute	Prim e	3:		
6.		han 10% or program changes ire prior written approval. Wa				
	Amendment submitted?	ne prior mineri approvai. VVe	a a request for Frogram			
7.	a. Identify resident inspe					
	 b. Was inspector's Quali 	fication Certificate sent to OC	D prior to construction?			

	Physical address of city hall or parish courthouse: Was voluntary acquisition involved? Number of parcels acquired: Any site or activity change since original ERR?	
	Number of parcels acquired: Any site or activity change since original ERR?	
	Any site or activity change since original ERR?	
	Arry site of activity change since original Errit?	
	~ If yes above, was the ERR amended?	
	How many subcontractors?	
	How far do we have to travel to see the project?	
	Is there current proof of bonding?	
omments / Description of Project / etc.:		
roject Description;		
ogram Amendment(s):		
udant Davinian(a)		
udget Revision(s):		
hange Order(s);		

0		Acquisition of Property (Part 1)	EV.		Tor	
	intee iewe		FY:	Date:	_ Тур	e:
				_		
			_	Yes	No	N/A
		at is the date of submission of the application for Federal financial assistance or the control (purchase agreement if grant funds are used to acquire property), if later?	date of			
			_	Yes	No	
	Wi	I the activity(ies) trigger: a. URA requirements?				
		~If Yes, proceed to Part Two: Acquistion of Property	_			
		b. Section 104(d) requirements?				
		~If Yes, complete the Displacement and relocation checklist.				
caui	isitio	n Not Subject to 49 CFR Part 24 Subpart B Requirements				
_		e this section when there is acquisition.				
	W	s a public solicitation notice published in the local newspaper prior to any				
		untary acquisition activity?	_			
	a	If Yes, did the notice explain or were the owners advised that unless the local gove	ming			
		body and the property owners agree on the terms and conditions of the sale, the pro- could not otherwise be acquired? 49 CFR 24.101(b)(1)(iii)	operty			
	b.	Did the notice state that no specific site or property needs to be acquired; and that t	he			
		property to be acquired will not be part of an intended, planned, or designated proje				
		area where all or substantially all of the property within the area is to be acquired within the specific time limits?				
		49 CFR 24.101(b)(1)(i)(ii)	-	_		
	C.	Was the property owner informed in writing of what the grantee believes market value of the property? 49 CFR 24,101(b)(1)(iv)				
	d	Was the acquisition of real property from a federal agency, state, or state agency?				
	u.	49 CFR 24.101(b)(3)				
		18/or the age in its or his top in our the target to be died on the in-				
	e.	Was the acquisition by leasing where the lease term, including option(s) for extension, is 15 years or more? 49 CFR 24.101(c)(1)	_			
	f.	Was the acquisition by permanent and/or temporary easements necessary	_			
		for the project? 49 CFR 24.101(c)(2)				
	Co	mments:				
		A	Acquisition (Part 1)) F	age 1 of 1

Address of property acquired. Use of property prior to the beginning of the acquisition process. single_family_residential industrial non-profit organization multi-family_residential other [identify]	_		Acquisition	of Property (Part 2)			
2. Use of property prior to the beginning of the acquisition process.			Contract	#	FY: Date:	Type:	
2. Use of property prior to the beginning of the acquisition process.		Add					
single family residentialother [identify]non-profit organizationmulti-family residentialother [identify]	1.						
commercial other [identify] 3. Owners (Indicate whether occupant). 4. Tenants. 5. Current address and home and business telephone numbers of owners(s) to be interviewed. (Interviews should be conducted if review finds there may be some impropriety with the acquisition process.) 6. Significant dates. (Reviewer must determine that event actually occurred and was in compliance with HUD regulations. Reviewer must review the timing of these events and the reasons for any delays in order to determine if the owner was caused an unnecessary hardship that would warrant negative findings.) a. Date of Determination to Acquire: (Date of LCDBG Application). b. Date of "Notice of Intent to Acquire": c. When a Public Agency Acquired Your Property. Date grantee provided owner with the notice of land acquisition procedures? (usually the same date as b. above) Appraisal Process 7. Was an appraisal required? Yes	2.	Use of property prior to the beginning	of the acquisition proces	s.			
Current address and home and business telephone numbers of owners(s) to be interviewed. (Interviews should be conducted if review finds there may be some impropriety with the acquisition process.) Significant dates. (Reviewer must determine that event actually occurred and was in compliance with HUD regulations. Reviewer must review the tirning of these events and the reasons for any delays in order to determine if the owner was caused an unnecessary hardship that would warrant negative findings.) a. Date of Determination to Acquire: (Date of LCDBG Application). b. Date of "Notice of Intent to Acquire": c. When a Public Agency Acquired Your Property. Date grantee provided owner with the notice of land acquisition procedures? (usually the same date as b. above) Appraisal Process 7. Was an appraisal required? Yes No ~ If No, explain why an appraisal was not required. (i.e., if the value of property was less than \$10,000; voluntary acquisition; etc.) Acquisition (Part 2) ~ If an appraisal was not conducted because the property was valued at less than \$10,000, list the documentation used to determine the fair market value of the property.			industrial other [identify]	non-profit organization	multi	-family reside	ntial
Current address and home and business telephone numbers of owners(s) to be interviewed. (Interviews should be conducted if review finds there may be some impropriety with the acquisition process.) Significant dates. (Reviewer must determine that event actually occurred and was in compliance with HUD regulations. Reviewer must review the timing of these events and the reasons for any delays in order to determine if the owner was caused an unnecessary hardship that would warrant negative findings.) a. Date of "Notice of Intent to Acquire: (Date of LCDBG Application). b. Date of "Notice of Intent to Acquire": c. When a Public Agency Acquired Your Property. Date grantee provided owner with the notice of land acquisition procedures? (usually the same date as b. above) Appraisal Process 7. Was an appraisal required? Yes No If No, explain why an appraisal was not required. yellow a property was less than \$10,000; voluntary acquisition; etc.) Acquisition (Part 2) - If an appraisal was not conducted because the property was valued at less than \$10,000, list the documentation used to determine the fair market value of the property.	3.	Owners (Indicate whether occupant).					
Current address and home and business telephone numbers of owners(s) to be interviewed. (Interviews should be conducted if review finds there may be some impropriety with the acquisition process.) Significant dates. (Reviewer must determine that event actually occurred and was in compliance with HUD regulations. Reviewer must review the timing of these events and the reasons for any delays in order to determine if the owner was caused an unnecessary hardship that would warrant negative findings.) a. Date of "Notice of Intent to Acquire: (Date of LCDBG Application). b. Date of "Notice of Intent to Acquire": c. When a Public Agency Acquired Your Property. Date grantee provided owner with the notice of land acquisition procedures? (usually the same date as b. above) Appraisal Process 7. Was an appraisal required? Yes No If No, explain why an appraisal was not required. yellow a property was less than \$10,000; voluntary acquisition; etc.) Acquisition (Part 2) - If an appraisal was not conducted because the property was valued at less than \$10,000, list the documentation used to determine the fair market value of the property.	4.	Tenants.					
HÜD regulations. Reviewer must review the timing of these events and the reasons for any delays in order to determine if the owner was caused an unnecessary hardship that would warrant negative findings.) a. Date of Determination to Acquire: (Date of LCDBG Application). b. Date of "Notice of Intent to Acquire": c. When a Public Agency Acquired Your Property. Date grantee provided owner with the notice of land acquisition procedures? (usually the same date as b. above) Appraisal Process 7. Was an appraisal required? Yes No ~ If No, explain why an appraisal was not required. (i.e., if the value of property was less than \$10,000; voluntary acquisition; etc.) Acquisition (Part 2) ~ If an appraisal was not conducted because the property was valued at less than \$10,000, list the documentation used to determine the fair market value of the property.	5.					SS.)	
HÜD regulations. Reviewer must review the timing of these events and the reasons for any delays in order to determine if the owner was caused an unnecessary hardship that would warrant negative findings.) a. Date of Determination to Acquire: (Date of LCDBG Application). b. Date of "Notice of Intent to Acquire": c. When a Public Agency Acquired Your Property. Date grantee provided owner with the notice of land acquisition procedures? (usually the same date as b. above) Appraisal Process 7. Was an appraisal required? Yes No ~ If No, explain why an appraisal was not required. (i.e., if the value of property was less than \$10,000; voluntary acquisition; etc.) Acquisition (Part 2) ~ If an appraisal was not conducted because the property was valued at less than \$10,000, list the documentation used to determine the fair market value of the property.	E	Significant dates (Reviewer must	t determine that event ac	tually occurred and was in	compliance with		
b. Date of "Notice of Intent to Acquire": c. When a Public Agency Acquired Your Property. Date grantee provided owner with (usually the same date as b. above) Appraisal Process 7. Was an appraisal required? ~ If No, explain why an appraisal was not required. (i.e., if the value of property was less than \$10,000; voluntary acquisition; etc.) Acquisition (Part 2) ~ If an appraisal was not conducted because the property was valued at less than \$10,000, list the documentation used to determine the fair market value of the property.		HUD regulations. Reviewer must revi to determine if the owner was caused	iew the timing of these ev I an unnecessary hardshi	vents and the reasons for p that would warrant nega	any delays in ord		
c. When a Public Agency Acquired Your Property. (usually the same date as b. above) Appraisal Process 7. Was an appraisal required? Appraisal was not required. (i.e., if the value of property was less than \$10,000; voluntary acquisition; etc.) Acquisition (Part 2) — If an appraisal was not conducted because the property was valued at less than \$10,000, list the documentation used to determine the fair market value of the property.				uon).	-		
the notice of land acquisition procedures? (usually the same date as b. above) Appraisal Process 7. Was an appraisal required? ~ If No, explain why an appraisal was not required. voluntary acquisition; etc.) Acquisition (Part 2) ~ If an appraisal was not conducted because the property was valued at less than \$10,000, list the documentation used to determine the fair market value of the property.		 Date of "Notice of Intent to Acquire 	e":		_		
7. Was an appraisal required? ~ If No , explain why an appraisal was not required. voluntary acquisition; etc.) Acquisition (<i>Part 2</i>) ~ If an appraisal was not conducted because the property was valued at less than \$10,000, list the documentation used to determine the fair market value of the property.					e) _		
~ If No , explain why an appraisal was not required. (i.e., if the value of property was less than \$10,000; voluntary acquisition; etc.) Acquisition (<i>Part 2</i>) ~ If an appraisal was not conducted because the property was valued at less than \$10,000, list the documentation used to determine the fair market value of the property.	App	raisal Process					
voluntary acquisition; etc.) Acquisition (Part 2) If an appraisal was not conducted because the property was valued at less than \$10,000, list the documentation used to determine the fair market value of the property.	7.	Was an appraisal required?				Yes N	o
~ If an appraisal was not conducted because the property was valued at less than \$10,000, list the documentation used to determine the fair market value of the property.			as not required.	(i.e., if the value of prop	perty was less tha	an \$10,000;	
the documentation used to determine the fair market value of the property.				A	cquisition (Part 2) –	
Acquisition (Part 2) Page 1 of 3		~ If an appraisal was not con the documentation used to	ducted because the prop determine the fair marke	erty was valued at less that t value of the property.	an \$10,000, list		
Acquisition (Part 2) Page 1 of 3							
					Acquisition (Pa	nt2) Page	1 of 3
						,	

		If Vac continue	Yes	No _	Amt	Date
		If Yes, continue.	V	N-	A	Data
		Was a review appraisal conducted?			_ Amt	Date
	C.	Does the appraisal and review appraisal on the fair market value?	disregard th	e influence	e of the project	Yes No
	d	Do you find the amount determined to be conclusion of the fair market value of the		nsation an	acceptable	Yes No _
	е	. Was the amount determined to be just of the fair market value of the property?		less than	the grantee's approved a	appraisal
		of the fall market value of the property?	Yes	No	Amt	Date
		~ If Yes , explain				
	f.	Were the owners invited to accompany t	the appraiser	s on their	inspection of	
		the property?				Yes No _
٧	Was	an administrative settlement made?				Yes No _
ā	a. Di	d the grantee prepare a written justification	for using an	administr	ative settlement?	Yes No _
b	o. Is	there evidence of good faith negotiations a	after the initia	offer was	s rejected?	Yes No _
C	c. If t	the settlement was greater than \$10,000, d	lid the grante	e obtain C	OCD's prior approval?	Yes No
f S	Sale/	Donation/Condemnation/Quick Take				
ā		Purchase Offer. Prior to any bargaining, did erms and conditions to purchase his proper	-			-
		and conditions to parenase his proper	ity at the fair		s No	Date
	b. D	ate owner accepts offer to donate, or rejec	cts offer.			
b	~	If donated, was the donation process ca	rried out in a	proper ma	anner?	Yes No _
b						
t		> If No, randomly pick 2 donations. Cal	Il and ask ho	w the proc	ess was handled.	
b		> If No , randomly pick 2 donations. Cal ~ Did the owners indicate they felt compensation?				Yes No _
b		~ Did the owners indicate they felt				Yes No _
b		~ Did the owners indicate they felt compensation?				Yes No _
		~ Did the owners indicate they felt compensation? > If Yes , explain.				Yes No _
C	c. D	~ Did the owners indicate they felt compensation? > If Yes , explain.	pressured in			Yes No _
c c	c. D	Did the owners indicate they felt compensation? If Yes, explain. Oate final contract entered into:	(all parties)			Yes No _

	g.	Date title vested in agency:		
	h.	Date 90-day notice to vacate property:		
		Summary Statement. Did the grantee provide the owner with a "Statement of the Basis for the Determination of Just Compensation" at the time the grantee furnished the owner with the written purchase offer? (Section 301 (3))	Yes	No
	j.	Payment of Just Compensation. Did the owner receive the amount determined to be just compensation for his property? (Section 301)	Yes	No
	k.	Settlement Costs. Has grantee paid all settlement costs as required? (Sect. 303)	Yes	No
10.	pro	neral Acquisition Process. Based on the available evidence, did the grantee carry out the acquisition icess in a manner that minimized hardships to the owners, and was the grantee consistent with its' atment of other owners? (Section 301)		
			Yes _	No
Comr	nent	s / Recommended Corrective Action:		
		Acquisition (Part 2)	Page 3 of 3
		requiatori	, uniz,	r age o or o

	Anti-displacement (Part	1)			
	Contract #:		FY:	Туре	E
Reviewer:	LGR:		Date:_		
			Yes	No	N/A
Was a person or busine	ss displaced as a result of this program?				
~ If Yes, complete the	Residential Relocation/Displacement Chec	klist (Part 2).			
	isition subject to the Uniform Act? the Anti-displacement Checklist (Part 2).				
~ If Yes, complete	the Anti-displacement Checklist (Part 2).				
omments / Recommended Co	rrective Action:				
	Compliance with National Obje	ectives			
ctivity(ies):	National Objective(s)*:	Verification:			
omments/Recommended Corr	rective Action:				
on ments recommended Corr	edire Adion.				
MA = principal banefit to law	v-to-moderate income persons S/B =	arayanti an /alimina	tion of dum on	d bliobt	
		prevention/elimina	tion or sium an	a blignt	
MC = principal benefit to low	v-to-moderate income clientele U/N =	urgent need			
LMJ = low to moderate job cr		urgent need			
LMJ = low to moderate job cr		urgent need			
.MJ = low to moderate job cr		urgent need			
LMJ = low to moderate job cr	eation/retention benefit	urgent need		Yes	No
LMJ = low to moderate job cre N/A = not applicable Were any complaints file	Citizen Participation			Yes	No
LMJ = low to moderate job cre N/A = not applicable Were any complaints file	eation/retention benefit Citizen Participation			Yes	No
LMJ = low to moderate job cre N/A = not applicable Were any complaints file	Citizen Participation			Yes	No
MJ = low to moderate job cre WA = not applicable Were any complaints file ~ If yes, was the compl	Citizen Participation			Yes	_No
LMJ = low to moderate job cre N/A = not applicable Were any complaints file ~ If yes, was the compl	Citizen Participation ed? laint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan		Yes	Yes	No N/A
LMJ = low to moderate job cm N/A = not applicable Were any complaints file ~ If yes, was the compl Comments:	Citizen Participation ed? laint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan	followed?	Yes	=	
LMJ = low to moderate job cm N/A = not applicable Were any complaints file ~ If yes, was the compl Comments: Has an activity or project	eation/retention benefit Citizen Participation ed? laint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan Environmental	followed?	Yes	=	
LMJ = low to moderate job cm N/A = not applicable Were any complaints file ~ If yes, was the compl Comments: Has an activity or project (View Site)	eation/retention benefit Citizen Participation ed? laint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan Environmental	followed?	Yes	=	
LMJ = low to moderate job cm N/A = not applicable . Were any complaints file	Citizen Participation ed? laint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan Environmental t site changed since review of the ERR and/or g	followed?	Yes	=	
~ If yes, was the complete. Comments: Has an activity or project (View Site) ~ If Yes, was the E ~If No, note the complete.	Citizen Participation ed? laint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan Environmental t site changed since review of the ERR and/or	followed?	Yes	=	
LMJ = low to moderate job cm N/A = not applicable . Were any complaints file	Citizen Participation ed? laint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan Environmental t site changed since review of the ERR and/or	followed?	Yes	=	
LMJ = low to moderate job con N/A = not applicable Were any complaints file ~ If yes, was the comple Comments: Has an activity or project (View Site) ~ If Yes, was the E ~If No, note the condition of the cond	Citizen Participation ed? laint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan Environmental t site changed since review of the ERR and/or	followed?	Yes	=	
LMJ = low to moderate job con N/A = not applicable Were any complaints file ~ If yes, was the comple Comments: Has an activity or project (View Site) ~ If Yes, was the E ~If No, note the condition of the cond	Citizen Participation ed? laint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan Environmental t site changed since review of the ERR and/or grade an amended ERR will be submitted: be a consulting party?	followed?	Yes	=	
LMJ = low to moderate job con N/A = not applicable . Were any complaints file ~ If yes, was the compl Comments: . Has an activity or project (View Site) ~ If Yes, was the E ~If No, note the complete of the co	Citizen Participation ed? laint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan Environmental t site changed since review of the ERR and/or of the ERR will be submitted: the conditions of their request?	followed?	Yes	=	
LMJ = low to moderate job con N/A = not applicable Were any complaints file	Citizen Participation ed? laint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan Environmental t site changed since review of the ERR and/or grade an amended ERR will be submitted: be a consulting party? the conditions of their request?	followed?	Yes	=	
LMJ = low to moderate job con N/A = not applicable Were any complaints file	Citizen Participation ed? laint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan Environmental t site changed since review of the ERR and/or grade an amended ERR will be submitted: be a consulting party? the conditions of their request?	followed?	Yes	=	
MJ = low to moderate job col Were any complaints file If yes, was the comple Comments: Has an activity or project (View Site) If Yes, was the E If No, note the color Did any tribe request to be If Yes, what were to be comments of Recommended Comments / Recommended C	Citizen Participation ed? laint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan Environmental t site changed since review of the ERR and/or grade an amended ERR will be submitted: be a consulting party? the conditions of their request?	followed? grant application?		No	

0 1	Anti-displacement (Part	'/	EV.		
Grantee: Reviewer:	Contract #: LGR:		FY:	Туре	e:
			Yes	No	N/A
			703	-110	- 10/4
	usiness displaced as a result of this program?	klist (Dort 2)			
	te the Residential Relocation/Displacement Check acquisition subject to the Uniform Act?	Klist (Part 2).			
	plete the Anti-displacement Checklist (Part 2).				
omments / Recommende	ed Corrective Action:				
	Compliance with National Obje	ectives			
ctivity(ies):	National Objective(s)*:	Verification:			
omments/Recommended	d Correction Actions				
omments/Recommended	d Corrective Action:				
	to low-to-moderate income persons S/B =	prevention/elimina	tion of dum on	d blight	
				u Diigiit	
LMC = principal benefit		urgent need			
LMC = principal benefit LMJ = low to moderate j	to low-to-moderate income clientele U/N =				
LMC = principal benefit LMJ = low to moderate j	to low-to-moderate income clientele U/N =				
LMC = principal benefit LMJ = low to moderate j	to low-to-moderate income clientele U/N = job creation/retention benefit			Yes	No
LMC = principal benefit	to low-to-moderate income clientele U/N = job creation/retention benefit Citizen Participation			Yes	No
LMC = principal benefit LMJ = low to moderate j N/A = not applicable Were any complain	to low-to-moderate income clientele U/N = job creation/retention benefit Citizen Participation	urgent need		Yes	No
LMC = principal benefit LMJ = low to moderate j N/A = not applicable Were any complain	to low-to-moderate income clientele U/N = job creation/retention benefit Citizen Participation Ints filed? complaint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan	urgent need		Yes	No
MC = principal benefit MJ = low to moderate j MA = not applicable Were any complair ~ If yes, was the	to low-to-moderate income clientele U/N = job creation/retention benefit Citizen Participation Ints filed? complaint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan	urgent need		Yes	No
LMC = principal benefit LMJ = low to moderate i N/A = not applicable Were any complair ~ If yes, was the	to low-to-moderate income clientele U/N = job creation/retention benefit Citizen Participation Ints filed? complaint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan	urgent need	Yes	YesNo	No N/A
LMC = principal benefit LMJ = low to moderate i N/A = not applicable Were any complair ~ If yes, was the comments:	to low-to-moderate income clientele U/N = job creation/retention benefit Citizen Participation Ints filed? complaint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan	followed?		=	
LMC = principal benefit LMJ = low to moderate i N/A = not applicable Were any complair ~ If yes, was the c Comments: Has an activity or p (View Site)	to low-to-moderate income clientele J/N = job creation/retention benefit Citizen Participation Ints filed? complaint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan Environmental project site changed since review of the ERR and/or g	followed?		=	
LMC = principal benefit LMJ = low to moderate j N/A = not applicable Were any complair ~ If yes, was the c Comments: Has an activity or p (View Site)	to low-to-moderate income clientele U/N = job creation/retention benefit Citizen Participation Ints filed? complaint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan Environmental	followed?		=	
LMC = principal benefit LMJ = low to moderate i N/A = not applicable . Were any complair ~ If yes, was the comments: . Has an activity or p (View Site) ~ If Yes, was	to low-to-moderate income clientele J/N = job creation/retention benefit Citizen Participation Ints filed? complaint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan Environmental project site changed since review of the ERR and/or g	followed?		=	
LMC = principal benefit LMJ = low to moderate i N/A = not applicable . Were any complair ~ If yes, was the comments: Comments: Has an activity or p (View Site) ~ If Yes, was ~If No, note	Citizen Participation Citizen Participation Ints filed? complaint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan Environmental Project site changed since review of the ERR and/or of the ERR amended and sent to OCD for review?	followed?		=	
LMC = principal benefit LMJ = low to moderate i N/A = not applicable . Were any complair ~ If yes, was the comments: . Has an activity or p (View Site) ~ If Yes, was ~If No, note . Did any tribe reque	Citizen Participation Citizen Participation Ints filed? complaint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan Environmental Project site changed since review of the ERR and/or of the ERR amended and sent to OCD for review? The the date an amended ERR will be submitted:	followed?		=	
LMC = principal benefit LMJ = low to moderate i N/A = not applicable . Were any complair ~ If yes, was the c Comments: . Has an activity or p (View Site) ~ If Yes, was ~If No, note . Did any tribe reque ~ If Yes, what to	Citizen Participation Citizen Participation Ints filed? complaint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan Environmental Project site changed since review of the ERR and/or get the ERR amended and sent to OCD for review? The t	followed?		=	
LMC = principal benefit LMJ = low to moderate i N/A = not applicable . Were any complair ~ If yes, was the comments: . Has an activity or p (View Site) ~ If Yes, was ~If No, note . Did any tribe reque ~ If Yes, what i	Citizen Participation Citizen Participation Ints filed? Complaint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan Environmental Project site changed since review of the ERR and/or of the ERR amended and sent to OCD for review? The the date an amended ERR will be submitted: The the tate an amended ERR will be submitted: The tate of the tate and the conditions of their request?	followed?		=	
LMC = principal benefit LMJ = low to moderate in N/A = not applicable Were any complaint If yes, was the comments: Has an activity or provided in the comments of the comme	Citizen Participation Citizen Participation Ints filed? Complaint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan Environmental Project site changed since review of the ERR and/or of the ERR amended and sent to OCD for review? The the date an amended ERR will be submitted: The the tate an amended ERR will be submitted: The tate of the tate and the conditions of their request?	followed?		=	
LMC = principal benefit LMJ = low to moderate i N/A = not applicable Were any complair ~ If yes, was the comments: Has an activity or p (View Site) ~ If Yes, was ~If No, note Did any tribe reque ~ If Yes, what was ~ Were the comments / Recommends	Citizen Participation Citizen Participation Ints filed? Complaint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan Environmental Project site changed since review of the ERR and/or of the ERR amended and sent to OCD for review? The the date an amended ERR will be submitted: The the tate an amended ERR will be submitted: The tate of the tate and the conditions of their request?	followed? grant application?	Yes	No	N/A
LMC = principal benefit LMJ = low to moderate is WA = not applicable Were any complair ~ If yes, was the of Comments: Has an activity or p (View Site) ~ If Yes, was ~If No, note Did any tribe reque ~ If Yes, what were the comments / Recommends	Citizen Participation Citizen Participation Ints filed? Complaint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan Environmental Project site changed since review of the ERR and/or of the ERR amended and sent to OCD for review? The the date an amended ERR will be submitted: The est to be a consulting party? The end of their request? The project site changed since review of the ERR and/or of the conditions of their request?	followed? grant application?	Yes	No	

	Civil Rights			
	rantee: Contract #: ewer: LGR:	FY: Date:	Тур	e:
Nev	LUN.	Date.		
Title \	/I - Program Participation	Yes	No	
1.	Does this project require individual beneficiary applications? ~If Yes, continue.	—		
	a. Does the grantee maintain records of all applicants in addition to selected beneficiaries?			
	 Is the grantee collecting information on race, ethnicity, and gender of single headed households? 24 CFR 570.506(g)(2) 			
Section	on 504			
Sumn	nary of Previous Actions Taken	Yes	No	N/A
2.	Based on your observations of the grantee's facilities, are there any obvious areas of non-compliance? a. If Yes , identify			
Equal	Employment Opportunity			
3.	Is LCDBG funding the grantee's employment in whole or in part? (24 CFR 570.506(g)(3))			
4.	Does the funded grantee's operating unit have 15 or more employees? ~ If Yes , continue. If No , skip to question 8.		—	
5.	Are EEO posters displayed?			
6.	Is employment data maintained? (EEO-4 form if grantee has 100 or more employees; Workforce Analysis in handbook if 15-99 employees) 24 CFR 6.6(b); 29 CFR 1602.30			
7.	Has grantee been cited by a state or federal agency for EEO non-compliance or discrimination in hiring? (24 CFR 570.506(g)(7)) 24 CFR 6.4(a)(3)(i)			
Lim ite	ed English Proficiency			
8.	Has the Language Access Plan been reviewed/updated annually?			
Fair H	ousing			
9.	Identify actions taken or scheduled to be taken to further fair housing during this project/cont (Need 2 activities)	ract period.		
Comn	nents / Recommended Corrective Action:			
		Civil Rights	page 1	1 of 1
			1230	

	rantee:		Contract #:	FY:	Тур	e:
Revie	ewer:		LGR:	Date:		
anc	ial Reporting	Reference: 2 CF	R 200 302(b)			
	rreperang	7101010110012	1120000000	Yes	No	N/
	Is a YTD financial sta	toment available?				
			nges in Net Assets and Balance Sheet	_		_
	Are the YTD financial	records reasonably curre	ent?			_
	Are the financial reco	rds accurate?				
	Does grantee have m	ore than one open LCDE	G grant?			
		ccounted for separately?				
	Has program income					
	~ If Yes , has it beer	returned to the State?				
0.0000	ents / Recommended	Corrective Action:				
ccou	nting Records	Reference: 2 CFR 20	10.302			
ccou	Does grantee properly	y maintain program recor	ds? [contract, authorization to	Yes	No	_N
	Does grantee propert incur costs, program	y maintain program recor amendments, budget revi	ds? [contract, authorization to isions, etc.]	Yes	_No_	
	Does grantee properly incur costs, program a	y maintain program recor amendments, budget rev ns and Awards	ds? [contract, authorization to	Yes	No	_N/
	Does grantee properly incur costs, program a	y maintain program recor amendments, budget rev ns and Awards ion to Incur Costs letter.	ds? [contract, authorization to isions, etc.]	Yes	_No_	_N/
	Does grantee properly incur costs, program a Authorization Authorization Firs	y maintain program recor amendments, budget rev ns and Awards ion to Incur Costs letter: t administrative invoice:	ds? [contract, authorization to isions, etc.]	Yes	No	_N/
	Does grantee properly incur costs, program a Authorization Authorization Firs	y maintain program recor amendments, budget rev ns and Awards ion to Incur Costs letter: t administrative invoice: Period covered:	ds? [contract, authorization to isions, etc.]	Yes	_No_	_N//
	Does grantee properly incur costs, program a Authorization Authorization Firs	y maintain program recor amendments, budget rev ns and Awards ion to Incur Costs letter: t administrative invoice: Period covered: Release of Funds letter:	ds? [contract, authorization to isions, etc.]	Yes	_No_	_N/
	Does grantee properly incur costs, program a Authorization Authorization Firs	y maintain program recor amendments, budget rev ns and Awards ion to Incur Costs letter: t administrative invoice: Period covered:	ds? [contract, authorization to isions, etc.]	Yes	No	
	Does grantee properly incur costs, program a Authorization Authorization First First Authorization First Authorization First Authorization Aut	y maintain program recor amendments, budget rev ins and Awards ion to Incur Costs letter it administrative invoice: Period covered: Release of Funds letter: rst construction invoice: Period covered:	ds? [contract, authorization to isions, etc.] Dates d pre-agreement costs) were	Yes	_No	

						Yes	No	N/A
fina	es the internal control ancial management quapproval of invoices recording of LCDBG signing of the checks	financial transactions	representations m	nade in the		=	=	
0. Are	e there two signatures	on the checks?						
	e checks pre-signed?							
2. Do rea	the grantee's controls	s over the design and o at transactions and ev				_	_	
omments	s / Recommended Cor	rrective Action:						
Budget Co		ence: 2 CFR 200.302(h)(5)					
				Unablicat	and Delegan	F	E	2-1-
Elig	gible Activities	Original Budget	Obligated	Unobligat	ted Balance	Expend	fitures to [Date
oth	as there evidence that ner sources of funds?	funds were obligated	in excess of the L	CDBG award a	nd/or	Yes	No	_N/A
oth	ner sources of funds?	funds were obligated after the grant agreen				Yes		N/A
oth	ner sources of funds?		nent and/or procu			_	No	_N/A
oth	ner sources of funds?	after the grant agreen	nent and/or procu	red contract(s)	expiration(s)?	_	No	_N/A
oth	ner sources of funds? ere any costs incurred	after the grant agreen	nent and/or procu	red contract(s)	expiration(s)?	_	No	_N/A_
oth	ere any costs incurred FYE:	after the grant agreen	nent and/or procu	red contract(s)	expiration(s)?	_	No	_N/A
oth	ere any costs incurred FYE: FYE:	after the grant agreen	nent and/or procu	red contract(s)	expiration(s)?	_	No	_N/A_
oth	ere any costs incurred FYE: FYE: FYE:	after the grant agreen	nent and/or procu	red contract(s)	expiration(s)?	_	No	_N/A
oth	ere any costs incurred FYE: FYE: FYE:	after the grant agreen	nent and/or procui	RFP#	expiration(s)?	Reported	No	_N/A_
oth	FYE: FYE: FYTD:	after the grant agreen	nent and/or procui	RFP#	expiration(s)? Revenue	Reported		_N/A
oth 4. We 5. Are	FYE: FYE: FYTD: edger Cash Balance	Amount D	nent and/or procus	RFP#	expiration(s)? Revenue	Reported		_N/A
Loth 4. We 5. Are and	FYE: FYE: FYTD: edger Cash Balance e there any discrepand d the approved budge	Amount Do	nent and/or procus	RFP#	expiration(s)? Revenue	Reported		_N/A
Loth 4. We 5. Are and	FYE: FYE: FYTD: edger Cash Balance e there any discrepance	Amount Do	nent and/or procus	RFP#	expiration(s)? Revenue	Reported		
Loth 4. We 5. Are and	FYE: FYE: FYTD: edger Cash Balance e there any discrepand d the approved budge	Amount Do	nent and/or procus	RFP#	expiration(s)? Revenue	Reported		
Loth 4. We 5. Are and	FYE: FYE: FYTD: edger Cash Balance e there any discrepand d the approved budge	Amount Do	nent and/or procus	RFP#	expiration(s)? Revenue	Reported		
Loth 4. We 5. Are and	FYE: FYE: FYTD: edger Cash Balance e there any discrepand d the approved budge	Amount Do	nent and/or procur	RFP#	expiration(s)? Revenue	Reported		

16.	Are accounting record				Yes	No	N/A
	documentation?	[cancelled checks,	nvoices, contracts	l	_		
17.	Was employee time of with time sheets and/ ~ If Yes , are the tra the accounting red	or other source docu insactions regarding	ments? 2 C	FR 200.430(i)	_		_
Com	ments / Recommended	Corrective Action:					
Cash	Management	Reference: 2 CF	R 200.305				
					Yes	No	N/A
18.	Is the Grantee using	a separate bank acco	ount to deposit and	disburse funds?			
	∼lf No , did grante	e obtain OCD permis	sion to use a cent	ral bank or clearin	ng account?		
19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	Are LCDBG funds de Are all checks pre-pri Are 'other' funds depo Are bank statements Is there evidence of a	inted and pre-number osited in the LCDBG reconciled upon rece	red? account? ipt?	nt?			
		Date Deposited	Check#	Dollar Amt.	Check Written	Check C	leared *
	RFP#:						
	RFP#:						
	RFP#:						
	* If m	ore than 30 days has	s lapsed, a written	explanation must	be requested in writing.	•	
	Financial Institution:				umber:		
24.		ont. Ohead	#	Date	Amo	ount	
	Last cash disburseme	ent: Check					
	Last cash disburseme		nt made to:				
25.	Last cash disburseme	Payme	nt made to:				
25.		Payme	nt made to:				
25.		Payme	nt made to:				
24. 25. Com		Payme	nt made to:				
25.		Payme	nt made to:				ane 3 of 4
25.		Payme	nt made to:		Financial Mana		age 3 of 4
25.		Payme	nt made to:				age 3 of 4
25.		Payme	nt made to:				age 3 of 4
25.		Payme	nt made to:				age 3 of 4
25.		Payme	nt made to:				age 3 of 4
25.		Payme	nt made to:				age 3 of 4
25.		Payme	nt made to:				age 3 of 4
25.		Payme	nt made to:				age 3 of 4

0	Personantia have adequate financial seconds? (Statement of Personance)
6.	Does grantee have adequate financial records? [Statement of Revenues, Expenditures & Changes in Fund Balance and Balance Sheet or General Ledger] (2 CFR 200.302(b)(2))
7.	Were the grantee's accounting records and financial practices sufficient to: a. permit the preparation of required financial reports? (2 CFR 200.302(a)) and / or
	b. permit the tracing of LCDBG funds to establish that such funds have not been used in violation of the restrictions & prohibitions of applicable statutes and regulations? (2 CFR 200.302(a))
8.	Were all costs charged to the program reasonable and necessary? (24 CFR 570.489(d))
9.	Were any program funds used for general government expenses? (24 CFR 570.489(d))
0.	Were there any instances that gave rise to the Questioned Cost criteria?
	Inadequate documentation Unallowable under program regulations Expenditure unrelated to the project Required pre-expenditure approvals not obtained Incurred outside the grant agreement period
	What are the specific problems?
	Questions 27-28, if No, or Questions 29-30, if Yes: inform grantee funds cannot be requested or disbursed until
omi	deficiencies are corrected. ments / Recommended Corrective Action:
om	deficiencies are corrected.
omi	deficiencies are corrected.
iomi	deficiencies are corrected.
Comm	deficiencies are corrected.
omi	deficiencies are corrected. ments / Recommended Corrective Action:
čomi	deficiencies are corrected. ments / Recommended Corrective Action:
com	deficiencies are corrected. ments / Recommended Corrective Action:
Com	deficiencies are corrected. ments / Recommended Corrective Action:
Com	deficiencies are corrected. ments / Recommended Corrective Action:

		Li	abor Standar	ds		
Grantee:			Contract #:		FY:	Type:
Reviewer:		LGR	t:		Date:	.,,,,,,,
orce Account						
					Yes	No
 Did grantee hav 	e prior wr	itten approval from OCD to w the "LCDBG Guidelines	use 'Force /	Account"?		=
			for 'Force Ac	count'?		
		s to review.]				
~ If No, comple	te the folk	owing:				
		Dima Cantastas I	Dein	Ct 2	Dring Cont	
Com	tractor	Prime Contractor 1	Prin	ne Contractor 2	Prime Cont	ractor 3
Bid Openin			_			
Date of Eli	_					
Date of Contract						
	In Date					
Total Contract	Award					
Work Desc	ription					
	_					
A. Decisio						
Effective Dec						
Effective Issu			_			
B. Decisio			_			
Effective Dec			_			
Effective						
Effective Issu	e Date					
					'	
	Pri	m e 1 Interviews	Prime 2	Interviews	Prime 3 Inter	views
Em ployee						
Interviews						
List Worker						
Classifications and						
Rates as						
Determined by Employee						
Employee						
lame of Sub(s)	4			<u>5</u>		
Em ployee						
Interviews						
lame of Sub(s)	6			7		
rame or sub(s)	<u>0</u>			<u>r</u>		
Em ployee						
Interviews						
interviews						

All Prime Contractors and any subcontractor with a contract of \$100,000 or more Subcontractors with a large number of payroll problems with contracts of less than \$100,000

Other subcontractors, not listed above, that are on the jobsite on the date of the above interviews One person of each classification present on the interview date(s) and 50% of all laborers should be interviewed.

Labor Standards Page 1 of 3

	Primes and Subs (from page one) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
2.	Were interviews completed? (as defined on page 1)
3.	Were weekly payrolls submitted and properly reviewed?
4.	Did a company owner/officer or a person with written authorization sign the payrolls?
5.	Did inspection reports provide the basic elements needed to verify Davis-Bacon; i.e., a description of work performed, worker classifications, equipment on jobsite? Yes No
3.	Did the wage decision(s) have all job classifications needed by each contractor based on factors such as inspection reports, project type, site visits, etc. ? Yes No
7.	Were proper additional classifications requested?
3.	Did the payrolls (or corrected payrolls) properly classify workers?
9.	Did the wage decision(s) require fringes for any classification used by each contractor? No Yes
10.	If fringes were required, did the contractor check Box 4-b indicating payment in cash? Yes No
11.	Did Box 4-a indicate fringe benefit payment(s) into an approved plan? No Yes [When answering #12 below, allow credit for no more than the fringe amount listed on the wage decision unless a schedule of fringe benefit payments indicates a higher amount(s).]
12.	Is there any reason to further investigate "Box 4-a" fringe payments? Yes No
13.	Were Davis-Bacon compensation requirements met? (Without having to make restitution) Yes No Describe deficiency(ies):
	▼ Labor Standards Page 2 of 3

14.	Who detected the Davis-Bacon deficiency(ies)?
15.	Have Davis-Bacon restitution procedures been initiated and/or completed?
	<u> </u>
16.	Was there any overtime? No Yes
17.	₩ Was there any deficiency in the calculation of overtime rates?
17.	No Yes
18.	Describe the overtime deficiency(ies):
19.	Who detected the overtime deficiency(ies)?
20.	Have overtime restitution procedures been initiated and/or completed?
21.	Have liquidated damages procedures been initiated and/or completed?
	(Applicable only to contracts over \$100,000.00 under CWHSSA)
ſ	+ + +
	A Labor Standards Enforcement Report (LSER) is required if restitution by a contractor exceeds \$1,000.00
22.	Has the requirement for a LSER been triggered? No Yes
23.	Has the process of submitting a LSER been initiated and/or completed?
	↓ ↓ .
24.	Based on activity thus far, should the Final Wage Compliance Report reflect restitution? (If yes, inform the Consultant.)
25.	Were there "other" deductions on the payroll reports?
	No Yes
26.	If there were "other" deductions, were employee consent forms used?
27.	Were payrolls complete?
00	<u> </u>
28.	Were payrolls accurate?
	Prime Contractors Only 1 2 3
	(answer: Yes, No or N/A)
29.	Was the proper wage decision made a part of the construction contract?
	Comments / Recommended Corrective Action:
	Contributes / Necontributed Contective Action.
	Labor Standarda Dana 2 of 2
	Labor Standards Page 3 of 3

Gr	antee:			Procuren	#:		FY:		Typ	e:
	wer:		ι	.GR:	· ···			Date:	130	·-
	If grant funds were used for	all or part	of a nonfe	ecional convic	e contract(s)					
		all of part	or a profe.							
	Purchase type			Method of	Procurement			Con	tract Ty	/pe
	Professional Service	Prop	etitive osals	Small Purchase	Number of Quotes or Proposals Received	[if only or	competitive se RFP/RFQ was eceived]	Fixed Price		Cost imburse- ment
ŀ	Administrative Consulting	RFP	RFQ						+	
	Administrative Consulting Engineer								+-	
	Appraiser								+-	
	Testing								+	
	Legal								+	
	Auditor								+	
ı									\top	
[
	Did the grantee adopt the Date adopted:			curement poli		015, they	v must re-ado	Yes _		
	b. Date contractor(s) cleare	d, if applic	able:							
				(Consu	iltant)		(Engineer)	(Ot	ther)	
	~Is clearance date before	e contract	date?	Yes	No	Yes	_	No Ye	<u> </u>	No_
	c. Is there an active UEI nu	mber for th	he					Yes	No	N/A
									_	
		administra	tive cons	ultant						
		engineer								
		prime con								
	•	subcontra	ctors							
		(answ	er: Yes,	No or N/A)	Cons	ultant	Engin	eer (Other	Othe
	For the Small Purchase met	hod, does	the file ha	we						
	· what was the item purch									
	 a minimum of 3 quotes (5) 		5 quotes	[\$20k-\$30k]						
	rec'd by phone, fax or ma							_		
	 documentation for basis 							_		
	was the purchase for a d									
	 do the payment terms sta 	ate upon c	ompletion	and delivery						
		(answ	er: Yes.	No or N/A)	Cons	ultant	Engin	eer (Other	Othe
	The Competitive Proposal M	ethod								
	 Using "Requests for Proj 									
	 a copy of the solicitat 							_		
	 was the solicitation re 			ed by OCD?				_		
	 how was the RFP/RF 			40						
	copies of proposals/q				Charina			-		
	 was more than one re ~If No, see Noncom 			qualification re	eceived/			-		
	a written evaluation o			eived?				-		
	how was cost reason.							-		
	selection was pre							-		
	and with adequate			p a a a a a a a				_		
	 detailed cost anal 									
							Procuremo	ant		200 1 0
							Floculent	2110	P	age 1 of

	(answer: Yes, No or N/A) a. Using "Requests for Proposals/Qualifications", does the file have	consultant /e	Engineer	Other	Other
	(continued from previous page)				
	 what evaluations factors were used? 				
	Qualifications				
	Experience				
	 Capabilities 				
	 Past Performance 				
	 Approach 				
	Cost				
	 did the solicitation specifically identify the evaluation items to be submitted for evaluation? [Capabilities.Past Performance, 				
	approach]				
	 does the grantee have a written evaluation method for its 				
	evaluation criteria [Capabilities,Past Performance, Approach]?				
	 evidence the selection process was thorough and uniform and the criteria & point system identified in the RFP was 				
	used to make the selection?				
	 were only the publicized items in the solicitation's scope of work contained in the contract? 				
	c. Was there any evidence of situations restricting competition?				
	 Was there any evidence of situations restricting competition? Contractors involved in the procurement process competing 				
	for a contract award? 2 CFR 200.319(a)				
	Any other non-competitive or arbitrary actions?				
	This office for competitive of allocations:				
4.	For the Non-competitive Proposals method, does the file have				
	 rationale for using this procurement method? 				
	 were any of the following applicable 2 CFR 200.320(f) 				
	~item is available only from a single source				
	~public exigency or emergency for the requirement				
	~OCD authorized in response to a written request				
	 Inadequate competition 				
	After solicitation of a number of sources, competition is				
	determined inadequate				
	 did the applicant sufficiently publicize the solicitation? 				
	 what was the solicitation period? 				
	 what was the solicitation media? 				
	 was there evidence of restrictive requirements or arbitrary 				
	actions?				
	was the RFP/RFQ resolicited?				
	 was competition determined to be inadequate? 				
	was a complete cost breakdown obtained and a cost analysis				
	of the proposed price/cost performed?				
5.	Does the contract include the following:				
	scope of services with breakout of prices or estimated costs by				
	services				
	contract amount, for fixed price contracts				
	contract ceiling for cost reimbursement				
	method of compensation				
	types of prices utilized in the contract				
	 type of price identified for each program task 				
	 contract dates begin and end (make note of) 				
	 Access to Records Clause 				
			Procure	ment P:	age 2 of 3
			Frocure	ment fo	ige z ui s

	·	Consultant	Engineer	Other	Other
3.	Are the following federal contract provisions included: Contracts for more than simplified acquisition threshold				
	Cause and Convenience				
	Rights to Inventions Made Under a Contract				
	 Clean Air Act and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Debarment and Suspension 				
	Byrd Anti-Lobbying Amendment				
	Was contract amended?				
	~ If Yes, why?				
	~ Did it add any additional scope of work?				
3.	Was contract(s) executed (signed) by all parties before work was initiated(including pre-agreement activities)?				
	~ If No ,document dates involved:				
			Yes	No	N/A
).	Does consultant's contract stipulate 10% of each billing will be held until program is conditionally closed?				
0.	Amount awarded grantee for general admin less pre-agreement:		\$		
0.	(Example: \$35,000 Admin total for consultant plus local government.))			_
	~ Did grantee hold 5% for their administrative expenses?				
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3
			Procure	ement Pa	age 3 of 3

	Ot	Pro		ance-Administr	ation	EV.		т	
	Grantee: viewer:		LGR:	ntract #:		FY:	Date:	_ Type	e:
	ract End Date:		LGK.	Percent Draw	n To Date:		Date:_		
Contr	act End Date.			Percent Draw	n To- Date:		Yes	No	N/A
							162	NO	N/A
	In the account account	-in a in ad	with the every	et time echedule?					
1.	Is the program progress ~ If No, list the activit	sing in accordance	with the curre	nt time schedule?					
	- II NO, list the activit	y(les) that is berill	u scriedule and	и ехріант читу.					
	Activity		Reason for d	olau:					
	Activity:		Reason for d	eldy.					
	Activity:		Reason for d	elav.					
	- roundy.		11000011101						
2.	Do you think the grante	e can meet the cu	rrent time sche	dule?					
	~ If No , explain:								
2	Was a revised schedule	a discussión							
3.	vvas a revised schedul	e uiscussed/							
4.	Are there problems whi	ch could make the	overall progra	m infeasible?					
٠.	Are triefe problems will	cii could make the	overall progra	iii iiii easibie r					
Comr	ments / Recommended Co	orrective Action:							
			Recon	d Keeping					
								Yes	No
								103	710
1.	Were the local government	nent's files availabl	le for review a	nd not the admini	istrative consu	Itant's?			
	vvere the local governin	nerit a filea availabl	ie ioi review, a	na not the admin	Strative Coriso	ILGIIIL 3 F			
2.	Was it difficult to find in	formation or docur	mentation durir	ng the review?					
	~ If Yes, explain:								
	_								
3.	Does grantee have and		conditionally cl	osed grant or gra	nt that receive	d			
	a final closeout in the la	st four years?							
	~ If Yes , view the loca	al government's Cl	DBG grant files	and review pact	monitoring let	tore for			
	repetitive deficiencie		DBG grant files	s and review past	monitoring let	1012101			
	repetitive deficiency	0-3.							
Comr	ments / Recommended Co	orrective Action:							
			D	Doefo A d-	inistration (D	d I/-			4 -6 4
			Program i	Performance-Adn	ninistration / R	ecora Ke	eping	page	1 of 1

Gra			Public Improvements				
-	antee:		Contract #:		FY:	Тур	e:
Reviev	wer:		LGR:		Date:_		
Con	tractor 1:			Contra	act Amount:		
					act Amount:		
Conf	tractor 3:			Contra	act Amount:_		
Sub	o-contractor 1:_		Sub-conf	ractor 2:			
		Contractor 1	Contracto	or <u>2</u>	Contracto	or <u>3</u>	
	Bid Ad Dates						
Bid C	Opening Date						
escrin	Award Date						
- Jones							
b * a	Accessibility b. Has the Sta a. If grant prov does the res supports am	ertificate for Compliance with by the Physically Handicapp te Fire Marshall issued a 'cert rides hook-ups or service line sidents' application for service nount of annual income?	ed? tificate of occupancy'? repairs to L/M income fa es include documentation				
b	b. Were work a	authorizations obtained from t	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	innal Ohiontin			
		ssessments levied on propert k-up or tap-on fees)	ty owners as a result of th	ional Objective is gram Performance			
	_	s more than 10% or program	changes that delete, add				
F		ire prior written approval. If a dment submitted to OCD? dent inspector:	pplicable, was a Reques				
F	Program Amen	dment submitted to OCD?	pplicable, was a Reques	t for a			
F a	Program Amen a. Identify residentify residentify Are inspection is	dment submitted to OCD?	pplicable, was a Reques	t for a			
* a	Program Amen a. Identify residentify residentify Are inspection is	dment submitted to OCD? dent inspector: reports available for review?	pplicable, was a Reques	t for a	_		
* a	Program Amen a. Identify residentify residentify Are inspection is	dment submitted to OCD? dent inspector: reports available for review?	pplicable, was a Reques *Pro	t for a			3
F a	Program Amen a. Identify residence Are inspection of the selecter Did the selecter	dment submitted to OCD? dent inspector: reports available for review? they signed by the inspector in	pplicable, was a Reques "Production of the second of the s	for a gram Performance	1		3
F a	Program Amen a. Identify residence Are inspection of the selecter Criminal convict Was a bid guar	dment submitted to OCD? dent inspector: reports available for review? they signed by the inspector in (answer: Yes, d bidder provide a signed atte	dentified above? No or N/A) estation document re: pasees?	tor a gram Performance Contractors:	1 —		3
F a	Program Amen a. Identify residence Are inspection of the selecter Criminal convict Was a bid guar (bid bond, co Did bid/contract a. Federal Wa	dment submitted to OCD? dent inspector: reports available for review? they signed by the inspector in (answer: Yes, d bidder provide a signed atteitions & verification of employer antee equivalent to 5% of bid entified check) t document contain the following Decision(s) - #'s	dentified above? No or N/A) estation document re: pasees?	tor a gram Performance Contractors:	1 		<u>3</u>
F a	Program Amen a. Identify residence Are inspection of the selecter Criminal convict Was a bid guar (bid bond, co Did bid/contract a. Federal Wa	dment submitted to OCD? dent inspector: reports available for review? they signed by the inspector in (answer: Yes, d bidder provide a signed atteitions & verification of employer tantee equivalent to 5% of bid ertified check) t document contain the following	pplicable, was a Reques "Pro- dentified above? No or N/A) estation document re: pasees? I submitted by the lowest	tor a gram Performance Contractors:	1 —		3
FF a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Program Amen a. Identify residence Are inspection of the selecter Criminal convict Was a bid guar (bid bond, of the sederal Waster by the sederal Waster by the sederal Lab c. EO Provision d. EO Provision	dment submitted to OCD? dent inspector: reports available for review? they signed by the inspector in (answer: Yes, d bidder provide a signed atteitions & verification of employer antee equivalent to 5% of bid entified check) t document contain the following Decision(s) - #'s	pplicable, was a Reques "Pro dentified above? No or N/A) estation document re: pasees? I submitted by the lowest ing? "a-b ect to EO11246 ect to EO11246	contractors:	1 ————————————————————————————————————		<u>3</u>
FF a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Program Amen a. Identify residence Are inspection of the selecter Criminal convict Was a bid guar (bid bond, contract a. Federal Wab. Federal Lab c. EO Provision d. EO Provision (must have	dment submitted to OCD? dent inspector: reports available for review? they signed by the inspector in (answer: Yes, d bidder provide a signed attentions & verification of employer antee equivalent to 5% of bide ertified check) t document contain the following Decision(s) - #'s or Standards Provisions ans (A.) for contracts not subjects (B. & C.) for contracts (B. & C.)	dentified above? No or N/A) estation document re: pases? I submitted by the lowest ing? *a-b ect to EO11246 oject to EO11246 od female participation)	contractors: t bidder? Labor [\$10,000 & under] [above \$10,000]	1 ————————————————————————————————————		<u>3</u>
FF a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Program Amen a. Identify residence Are inspection of the selecter Criminal convict Was a bid guar (bid bond, contract a. Federal Wab. Federal Lab c. EO Provision d. EO Provision (must have	dment submitted to OCD? dent inspector: reports available for review? they signed by the inspector in (answer: Yes, d bidder provide a signed atteitions & verification of employer antee equivalent to 5% of bid entified check) t document contain the following Decision(s) - #'s or Standards Provisions ans (A.) for contracts not subjects (B. & C.) for contracts (B. & C.) for contracts subjects (B. & C.) for contracts (B. & C.)	dentified above? No or N/A) estation document re: pasees? I submitted by the lowest ing? *a-b ect to EO11246 of female participation) byment, Business Opport	contractors: t bidder? Labor [\$10,000 & under] [above \$10,000]	_ _ _ _ _		3 3

	(answer: Yes, No or N/A)	Contractors:	1	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>
	f. Louisiana Uniform Public Work Bid Form g. Certification of Compliance with Air and Water Acts	[above \$150,000]			
	h. Access to Records/Maintenance of Records	[00010 0100,000]			
	i. Conflict of Interest				
	j. Bonding and Insurance Requirements	*f-j Procurement			
		-			
0.	If applicable, were copies of all addenda sent to all bidders &	OCD?			
	tions 11-20 are regarding Section 3 under the Civil Rights o ant is less than \$200,000, Section 3 requirements do not apply.]	-			
1.	Is grantee maintaining a certification file for Section 3 employe and businesses? If any Sec. 3 businesses or employees are				
	certification must be on file.				
	a. How many Sec. 3 businesses are on file?				
	 How many Sec. 3 workers are on file? If Sec. 3 workers were claimed, was an Employee Data F 	Form and Self-Certification			
	completed for each employee?				
	~Was each Employee Data Form and Self-Certification su in which the employee appeared?	ibmitted with the first payroll			
	an material compression appeared.				
2.	Contractor(s) 'Section 3' Documents a. Was a 'Section 3' plan completed?	grants over \$200,000]			
	b. Section 3 Certification				
	c. Was a Section 3 Contractor/Subcontractor Eligibility Form				
	-If yes, did the Eligibility Form have any affirmative answe ~ If yes, was a Section 3 Business Concern, Contract				
	submitted to the grantee?				
3.	Subcontractor(s) 'Section 3' Documents				
	Section 3 and Segregated Facilities Certification	[required by all subs]			
	 b. Section 3 Plan [for project. Was a Section 3 Contractor/Subcontractor Eligibility Form 	ects over \$200,000]			
	-If yes, did the Eligibility Form have any affirmative answer				
	~ If yes, was a Section 3 Business Concern, Contract				
	submitted to the grantee?				
4.	a. Did the prime contractor(s) have any new hires who qualif	v as Section 3 workers?			
	~ If Yes,	y as occioir o workers:			
	~ was an Employee Data Form and Self-Certification				
	~ did the contractor(s) meet the Section 3 goal?	described with the East name!			
	~Was each Employee Data Form and Self-Certification su in which the employee appeared?	ibmitted with the first payroll			
	b. Did the prime contractor(s) hire any subcontractors?				
	~ If Yes, did the contractor(s) meet the 10% goal?				
5.	Did the subcontractor(s) have any new hires?				
	~ If Yes, did the subcontractor(s) meet the Section 3 goal?				
	~If Sec. 3 workers were claimed, was an Employee Data F	Form and Self-Certification			
	completed for each employee? ~Was each Employee Data Form and Self-Certification su in which the employee appeared?	ubmitted with the first payroll			
		Public Improven	nents	Pa	age 2 of 3

with Section 3? rtisements, etc. illustrate persons and business business that challenge prime or sub? Contractor ontract amount? nissioner's Office e bid package and by grantee? ry signatures	enged enged		s No	N/A 3
contractors contractors contractors contractors contractors contractors contractors contract amount? contractors contract amount? contractors contract amount? contractors con	enged enged	Yes	s No	
Contractor ontract amount? nissioner's Office e bid package and by grantee? ry signatures	enged enged	Yes	s No	
Contractors ontract amount? nissioner's Office e bid package and by grantee? ry signatures	actors:	Yes	s No	
Contractors ontract amount? nissioner's Office e bid package and by grantee? ry signatures	actors:	Yes	s No	
Contractors ontract amount? nissioner's Office e bid package and by grantee? ry signatures	actors:	Yes	s No	
Contractors ontract amount? nissioner's Office e bid package and by grantee? ry signatures	actors:	Yes	s No	
Contractors ontract amount? nissioner's Office e bid package and by grantee? ry signatures	actors:	Yes	s No	
Contractors ontract amount? nissioner's Office e bid package and by grantee? ry signatures	actors:	Yes	s No	
ontract amount? nissioner's Office e bid package and by grantee? ry signatures		_		
ontract amount? nissioner's Office e bid package and by grantee? ry signatures		_		
ontract amount? nissioner's Office e bid package and by grantee? ry signatures				3
nissioner's Office e bid package and by grantee? ry signatures	I			
nissioner's Office e bid package and by grantee? ry signatures	I			
e bid package and by grantee? ry signatures	ı			
e bid package and by grantee? ry signatures	I			
by grantee? ry signatures	1			
by grantee? ry signatures	1	_		
ry signatures				
ry signatures		_		
led?		_		
led?		_		
,				
on the Public Improve	provements Ch	s Checklist a	are in the	
on the Public Improve	provements Ch	ts Checklist ar	are in the	
				e Public Improvements Checklist are in the

Grantee:	Exit Conference Contract #:	LGR:	
Program Areas Reviewed	Identify Problems to		
Frogram Areas Reviewed	identity Problems to	, be corrected	
			I
Acquisition (05)			
tequision (ee)			
Anti-displacement (14)			
Citizen Participation(13)			
Civil Direktor ED4 / EO /			I
Civil Rights: 504 / EO / MBE/Sec. 3/FH (04)			
			I
Economic Development (14)			
Environmental (02)			
, ,			
Financial Management (01)			
<u> </u>			
Labor Standards (03)			
casor standards (66)			
National Objective (10)			
Program Performance- Administration (09)			I
Hall Street (4.8)			
			I
Procurement (08)			I
riocarement (00)			
			I
Dublio Improvemento			I
Public Improvements			
Record Keeping (12)			I
necord necping (12)		Exit Conference	Page 1 of 1