NOTICE OF INTENT
Office of the Governor
Division of Administration
Office of Facility Planning and Control

Procedure Manual (LAC 34:III. Chapter 1)

In accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (R.S. 49:950, et seq.) and the provisions of RS 39:1410, The Division of Administration, Facility Planning and Control, hereby gives notice of its intent, to amend LAC 34:III. Chapter 1, Subchapter A. Procedure Manual. This change updates and clarifies the rules on budget, compensation, payments, contractual terms, and insurance for the designer’s contracts. The requirements for sections regarding detailed technical responsibilities, however, have been deleted and relegated to the more appropriate procedure manual, which is published on Facility Planning and Control’s website, and remains a part of the designer’s contract. This Rule change, therefore, updates and clarifies the critical budget, compensation, and certain contractual aspects of the designer contract, which should remain within the administrative code, yet allows the technical sections to more easily adapt to required changes.

Title 34
GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS, PROCUREMENT AND PROPERTY CONTROL
Part III. Facility Planning and Control
Chapter 1. Capital Improvement Projects
Subchapter A. Designer Contracts
§101. Condition of the Contract
A. The following rules shall be a part and condition of the contract between owner and designer, herein referred to as the "contract."

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 39:1410.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Office of the Governor, Division of Administration, Facility Planning and Control, LR 8:473 (September 1982), amended LR 11:849 (September 1985), LR 31:1076 (May 2005), LR 32:2047 (November 2006), LR 46:

§103. Definitions
Available Funds for Construction (AFC)—the budgeted amount of funds, established by the owner, available for awarding the construction contract(s).

Consultants—individuals or organizations engaged by the owner or the designer to provide professional consultant services complementing or supplementing the designer's services. As applicable, consultants shall be licensed to practice in accordance with laws of the state of Louisiana. The owner shall engage or have the designer furnish as part of the designer's services the services of consultants, which are deemed necessary for the project. Typical consultants are architects, landscape architects, civil, structural, mechanical, and electrical engineers, and others required to provide the services required or implied by the scope of the project, compensation for which is included in designer's basic fee.

Designer—a person or organization professionally qualified and licensed to practice architecture, engineering, or landscape architecture in accordance with the laws of the state of Louisiana, who is to perform basic services for the project, as named in the contract.

Owner—the State of Louisiana, Office of the Governor, Division of Administration, the responsibilities of which shall be exercised by the Commissioner of Administration or the designated representative, the Office of Facility Planning and Control (F&P).

Project—a Capital Outlay Project for which funds have been appropriated or other public government project for which funds are available, as specifically defined in the program attached to and stated in the contract between owner and designer.

User Agency—the agency, department, division, board or institution which will be the principal user of and for which the facility is being designed and constructed, as named in the contract. Where reference is made hereinafter to the "user agency," it will refer to both the "umbrella" and "local" entities of the department, board, agency, division, etc. (Examples: The LSU Board of Supervisors and the Department of Health are "umbrella" using agencies and "local" using agencies such as LSU—Alexandria and Pinecrest Support and Services Center are under their respective jurisdiction and administration).

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 39:1410.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Office of the Governor, Division of Administration, Facility Planning and Control, LR 8:473 (September 1982), amended LR 11:849 (September 1985), LR 31:1076 (May 2005), LR 46:

§105. Owner-User Agency Responsibilities

Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 39:1410.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Office of the Governor, Division of Administration, Facility Planning and Control, LR 8:473 (September 1982), amended LR 11:849 (September 1985), LR 31:1076 (May 2005), LR 32:2047 (November 2006), repealed LR 46:

§107. Available Funds for Construction (AFC)
A. The available funds for construction (AFC) of the project as fixed by the owner shall be stated in the contract between owner and designer.

B. The designer shall be responsible for designing the project so that the base bid does not exceed the AFC. The use of any alternate bids must be pre-approved by the owner.

C.1. At the completion of the program completion phase, the designer shall determine whether the AFC is realistic for the project when compared with the completed program. At this point, or at any other submissions of the project’s statement of probable cost (construction cost estimate) by the designer, if such statement of probable cost is in excess of the AFC, the owner shall have the option to:
   a. instruct the user agency to collaborate with the designer to revise the program so that the base bid will be within the AFC; such program revisions shall be done without additional compensation to the designer, except for extensive program revisions authorized in writing by the owner;
   b. provide additional funds to increase the AFC; or
   c. abandon or suspend the project.

2. Any adjustment in the AFC, approved in writing by the owner during design shall include an appropriate adjustment in the fee. The fee shall not be modified at any time after advertising for bids, except as allowed per §109.A.1.e and §109.A.3.

D.1. When the lowest bona fide base bid exceeds the AFC, the owner shall have the option to:
a. have the designer, without additional compensation, modify the construction documents as required in order to rebid the project to be within the AFC;

b. provide additional funds to award the construction contract without adjustment of the fee if the project scope remains the same; or

c. abandon the project.

2. The lowest bona fide base bid is defined as the lowest base bid submitted by a responsible and responsive bidder, not withdrawn in accordance with R.S. 38:2214, and which complies in every respect with the bidding requirements of the contract documents.

E. When the lowest bona fide base bid is less than 90 percent of the AFC and the designer has reduced the original program scope to reduce costs, the owner shall have the option to have the designer, without additional compensation, modify the construction documents as required to restore elements of the program that were eliminated to reduce cost.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 39:1410.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Office of the Governor, Division of Administration, Facility Planning and Control, LR 8:474 (September 1982), amended LR 11:850 (September 1985), LR 31:1076 (May 2005), LR 32:2047 (November 2006), LR 46:

§109. Compensation

A. The basic design services fee to be paid to the designer shall be as follows.

1. The fee for basic services, shall be calculated as the product of the fee percentage, adjusted for inflation, and the Available Funds for Construction (AFC), adjusted for inflation. The fee percentage shall be computed by the formula:

\[
\text{FEE PERCENTAGE} = \frac{46.10}{\log (\frac{\text{AFC}(\text{1975 BCI})}{\text{Current BCI}})}
\]

The fee shall be computed by the following formula:

\[
\text{FEE} = \text{FEE PERCENTAGE} \times \left( \frac{\text{AFC}(\text{1975 BCI})}{\text{Current BCI}} \times \frac{\text{Current CPI}}{\text{1975 CPI}} \right)
\]

Where "BCI" = Building Cost Index as published by Engineering News Record and "CPI" = Consumer Price Index as published by U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Since the annual averages computed in December of the BCI and CPI are used, fee calculations are based upon the most current calendar year average of both indices.

a. Should fee modifications occur during the course of the project, the BCI and CPI index factors used to calculate the original fee shall be used.

b. If a project, through no fault of the designer, is inactive for more than 24 months, the current BCI and CPI index factors shall be applied to the project’s remaining phases once re-activated, unless the new index factors reduce the fee. In that case, the index factors shall not be revised.

c. Multiple construction contracts. If the owner determines that the best interest of the project is served by bidding and constructing the project under two or more separate construction contracts, the design fee shall be established for each portion by application of the formula and modification factors herein.

d. If a project consists of more than one element, to be bid and constructed under one construction contract, then the AFC to be used in computing the design fee under the formula, herein, shall be the sum of the AFC's of each element.

e. Fee adjustments for alternates are as follows.

i. If an alternate, pre-approved by the owner, has a cost estimate within the AFC, the designer’s compensation for said alternate is already included within the designer's base fee.

ii. If an alternate, pre-approved by the owner, has a cost estimate in excess of the AFC, the designer shall receive compensation for the value above the AFC for that portion of the phase completed as described in §111.A.1.a. (by increasing the AFC for designer fee purposes). If the scope contained in that alternate is not awarded at bid, but later included as a change order and the designer compensated per §111.A.1.a, the compensation shall be adjusted such that the designer shall not be compensated twice for the same work.

iii. If the lowest bona fide base bid, is less than 90 percent of the AFC, refer to §107.E. regarding any additional compensation for alternates and change orders.

2. Modification Factors. Prior to selection, the owner shall have the discretion to evaluate the scope, function, complexity, image, and context of the project and apply modification factors listed below to the designer’s compensation for basic services.

a. Complexity factor shall be based upon the complexity of the project scope as determined by the owner.

i. Simple (0.85 of basic compensation), to be determined by owner—single use projects generally of utilitarian character without complication or detail, such as pre-engineered buildings. Buildings with a high degree of repetition may be included in this classification.

ii. Average (1.00 of basic compensation), to be determined by owner—projects of conventional character requiring normal attention to design and detail, including complete mechanical and electrical systems.

iii. Medium complex (1.1 of basic compensation), to be determined by owner—projects of special character and/or function requiring an above average level of skill in design and containing more than ordinary requirements of scientific, mechanical and electrical equipment.

iv. Complex (1.15 of basic compensation), to be determined by owner—projects of highly specialized design character and function requiring a high degree of design skill and requiring extensive, or special scientific, electronic, mechanical and electrical equipment and design expertise.

b. A renovation factor of up to 1.25 of applied fees, to be established and set by the owner for each individual project, will be multiplied by the fee percentage to arrive at the fee for renovation projects, when determined by the owner to be justified. This fee shall include verifying existing conditions and/or any other additional work incidental to renovation projects. The renovation factor will be set in proportion to additional work anticipated by the owner. The renovation factor will not be applied to re-roofing projects, except in unusual circumstances.

c. An adjustment factor shall be applied, by the owner, based on the design phases required in relation to typical basic services as described in §111. If all design phases are required, the adjustment factor shall be 1.0. If design phases, based on those described in §111, are not required due to previous work, or for other reasons as determined by the owner, eliminated or reduced, then this factor may be reduced below 1.0, prior to designer selection,
or negotiated with the designer if an existing contract is amended. If an adjustment factor less than 1.0 is applied, the reduction in total designer fee shall not be applied to each phase, but rather to reflect the phases reduced or eliminated, such that the designer earns the proper fee for work performed at each phase.

3. Change Orders. Preparation of documents required for change orders for any cause shall not be started without owner’s written approval. Fee adjustments for change orders shall be as follows.

a. Based on the owner’s determination, routine change orders, which involve a small amount of effort, will not involve extra compensation. The designer shall notify and obtain the owner’s prior written approval before preparing a change order for which he/she feels is due extra compensation for the extra effort involved. When final payment is made to the designer, all such change orders will be reviewed by the owner and the designer's contract will be amended to reflect extra compensation for the change orders which the owner has determined merit additional fee. The fee will be computed by increasing the AFC (for designer fee purposes) by the amount of change orders that qualify for additional fee as described above and recalculating the fee.

b. Designer shall prepare change orders caused by errors or omissions of the designer without additional compensation. The designer shall be financially responsible for costs that result from errors and/or omissions. The owner shall participate in the cost of omissions to the extent of the value received by the owner.

Errors—changes to the work caused by the designer for which the contractor is entitled to payment for which the owner receives no value. Typically, these involve work that has been constructed and must be demolished and replaced. Therefore, where the owner receives no value, the designer is responsible for 100 percent of the cost.

Omissions—changes to the work caused by the designer for which the contractor is entitled to payment for which the owner receives value. Typically these involve work that must be added to contract with little or no change to the work that has been constructed. Because the omitted work should have been included in the original bid documents, in lieu of added as a negotiated change order, the designer shall be responsible for no less than 10 percent of the cost of the omitted work.

B. Payment to the designer for additional services, shall be made on the basis of a detailed scope of work, a proposal from the designer, and negotiations between the owner and designer. All additional services must be pre-approved in writing by the owner prior to start.

Direct Personnel Expense—if referenced as part of the designer’s proposal, the normal, straight-time direct salaries of all the designer's personnel engaged in the project (technical but not clerical). This shall also include the direct salaries of designer's consultants involved in the additional services.

C. Reimbursable expenses are in addition to the compensation for basic and additional services and include actual expenditures made by the designer, his/her employees or professional consultants in the interest of the project as directed and authorized by the owner in writing prior to their occurrence.

1. The owner shall reimburse the designer the direct cost for all geotechnical investigations, topographic surveys, and other related information, prior approved by the owner and necessary for the design of the project.

2. The designer shall pay for the cost of printing and distribution of construction documents for the owner's and user agency's use, for regulatory agencies' approvals, and as required for the designer and consultant's own use. The owner will reimburse the designer the direct cost of printing and distribution of all other sets of construction documents, over and above the amount of the deposits on same retained by the designer. This will include necessary sets for the contractor to construct the project. If the designer proposes and the owner agrees to an alternative form of document distribution, such as an electronic format, the designer will be reimbursed the direct cost of this method in lieu of the reimbursement described above. The intent remains the same for the designer to bear costs for internal and consultant use.

D. Designer will be paid for prolonged contract administration and observation of construction should the contract time, as may be extended, be exceeded due to no fault of the designer and liquidated damages are required per the contract documents. The amount of such payment shall be computed by dividing 20 percent of the basic total fee by the number of days construction time, as extended, and multiplying by the number of days of liquidated damages as required by the contract documents.

E. When the designer exceeds the established time schedule, including any extensions of time approved by the owner, unless the extension is due to actions by the owner or user, then the amount of the fee shall be reduced by an amount, as liquidated damages, as stated in the advertisement for designer's selection, for each calendar day past the original or extended date that the designer has not delivered all construction documents to the owner sufficiently complete, coordinated and ready to bid. Completeness of the construction document phase will be determined by the owner as described in §111.A.2.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 39:1410.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Office of the Governor, Division of Administration, Facility Planning and Control, LR 8:474 (September 1982), amended LR 11:850 (September 1985), LR 31:1077 (May 2005), LR 32:2047 (November 2006), LR 46:

§111. Payments to the Designer

A. Payments on account of designer's services shall be made as follows.

1. Basic Services
   a. Upon satisfactory completion of all basic services for each phase, submission of all documents to the owner and upon the owner's and user's approval of same, which approval shall not be arbitrarily withheld, payment for the following phases of the designer's services will be made in one lump sum (with the exception of the construction documents phase as described below in Paragraph 2); such payments shall be up to the following percentages of the designer's fixed fee, either interim or final, as applicable, which percentages are cumulative.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Program completion phase</td>
<td>5 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schematic design phase</td>
<td>15 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design development phase</td>
<td>35 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction documents phase</td>
<td>60 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidding and contract phase</td>
<td>65 percent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
§113. Designer's Services

Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 39:1410.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Office of the Governor, Division of Administration, Facility Planning and Control, LR 8:475 (September 1982), amended LR 11:851 (September 1985), LR 31:1079 (May 2005), LR 32:2048 (November 2006), repealed LR 46:

§115. Designer's Accounting Records

A. Records of direct reimbursable expenses and expenses pertaining to additional services on the project, and for services performed on the basis of direct personnel expense, shall be kept on the basis of generally accepted accounting principles and shall be furnished and/or made available to the owner or the owner's authorized representative on request.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 39:1410.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Office of the Governor, Division of Administration, Facility Planning and Control, LR 8:477 (September 1982), amended LR 11:854 (September 1985), LR 46:

§117. Termination of Contract

A. The contract between owner and designer may be terminated by either party upon 30 days written notice to the other party; provided, however, that the other party may instead agree to continue performance on public projects.

B. In the event of termination by the owner due to failure of the designer to perform satisfactorily, the designer shall receive no compensation for the current and future work performed up to the time of termination. No compensation shall be paid to the designer for the work performed up to the time of termination.

C. If the contract is terminated by mutual consent, the designer shall be paid for all work completed prior to termination, and all work done shall become the property of the owner to be used at the owner's discretion without additional compensation to the designer.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S. 39:1410.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Office of the Governor, Division of Administration, Facility Planning and Control, LR 8:478 (September 1982), amended LR 11:854 (September 1985), LR 46:

§119. Abandonment or Suspension

A. If any work designed or specified by the designer is abandoned or suspended in whole or part by the owner, the designer is to be paid for the services rendered up to receipt of written notice from the owner, as follows:

1. If the abandonment or suspension occurs at the completion of a phase, the designer shall be paid the full amount due on completion of that phase as described in §111.A.1

2. If the abandonment or suspension occurs during a phase, the designer shall submit to the owner all documents prepared by him up to receipt of written notice from the owner, and the owner shall compensate the designer up to the percentage completion of that phase.

B. Should the project be reactivated, the new fee will be computed on the basis of the revised AFC and §109.A.1.b if
in the phases of work required to complete the project shall be the
percentages for such phases stated in §111.A.1 applied to the
new fee.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S.
39:1410.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Office of the
Governor, Division of Administration, Facility Planning and
Control, LR 8:478 (September 1982), amended LR 11:854
(September 1985), LR 46:

§121. Ownership of Documents
A. Per R.S. 38:2317, any and all plans, designs,
specifications, or other construction documents resulting
from professional services paid for by the owner shall
remain the property of the owner whether the project for
which they were prepared was constructed or not. If a
project is terminated for any reason prior to completion of
the project, electronic copies of the most current drawings
and specifications shall be transmitted by the designer to the
owner.

B. Upon completion of the project, record drawings (as-
built) shall be furnished to the owner and the user agency.
The designer shall have the right to re-use the construction
documents on other projects not constructed for the owner.

C. The right of ownership provided for above shall not
be transferable.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S.
39:1410.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Office of the
Governor, Division of Administration, Facility Planning and
Control in LR 8:478 (September 1982), amended LR 11:855
(September 1985), LR 46:

§123. Successors and Assigns
Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S.
39:1410.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Office of the
Governor, Division of Administration, Facility Planning and
Control, LR 8:478 (September 1982), amended LR 11:855
(September 1985), repealed LR 46:

§125. Extent of Agreement
Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S.
39:1410.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Office of the
Governor, Division of Administration, Facility Planning and
Control in LR 8:478 (September 1982), amended LR 11:855
(September 1985), repealed LR 46:

§127. Governing Law
Repealed.

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S.
39:1410.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Office of the
Governor, Division of Administration, Facility Planning and
Control, LR 8:478 (September 1982), amended LR 11:855
(September 1985), LR 31:1080 (May 2005), repealed LR 46:

§129. Other Conditions
A. Insurance. Prior to the signing of the contract between
owner and the designer, the designer shall furnish to the
owner proof of coverage for the following.

1. Insurance. Professional liability insurance shall be
required as per the owner's requirements on a project by
project basis. Refer to Exhibit B of the contract for
the extent of coverage required. Insurance will be required at
the time of contract execution between the owner and the
designer. Proof of coverage will be required at that time. No
deductible shall be in excess of 5 percent of the amount of
the policy.

2. Comprehensive general liability with minimum
limits of $500,000 per accident/occurrence.

3. Comprehensive automobile liability insurance with
minimum limits of $300,000 per accident/occurrence.

4. The designer shall provide a certificate of insurance
as proof of workmen's compensation coverage.

B. Affidavit. The designer, on signing the contract, shall
submit to the owner, on such form as the owner shall
designate, a noncollusion affidavit.

C. When the time schedule has been established by the
owner and designer, a completion date shall be set up for
delivery of 100 percent completed, coordinated and ready to
bid construction documents to the owner. If the designer is
delayed through no fault of his/her own, then the completion
date shall be extended accordingly, provided the designer
makes such request in writing before starting the subsequent
phase and the owner approves such as justified. The designer
shall continue to work during this process.

D. Non-Binding Mediation

1. In an effort to resolve any conflicts that arise during
or following the completion of the project, the owner and the
designer agree that all disputes between them arising out of
or relating to this agreement shall be submitted to non-
binding mediation unless the parties mutually agree
otherwise. If non-binding mediation is not successful, then
arbitration is the only remedy available to all parties of the
contract. Arbitration, mediation and/or any legal action
resulting from this contract shall take place in East Baton
Rouge Parish.

2. The owner and designer further agree to include a
similar mediation provision in all agreements with
independent contractors and consultants retained for the
project and to require all independent contractors and
consultants to likewise include providing for mediation as
the primary method for dispute resolution between the
parties to those agreements.

3. If this non-binding mediation fails to resolve any
conflicts, then the following arbitration clause shall take
effect. All claims, disputes and other matters arising from
the contract shall, at the option of the owner, be decided by
arbitration. To the extent possible, such arbitration
proceedings shall be conducted in accordance with the
construction industry association rules of the American
Arbitration Association. Any such arbitration proceeding
shall, at the option of the owner, be consolidated with or
joined to other arbitration proceedings between the owner
and other persons or entities under contract with the state for
the construction, repair or alterations of the project in
question.

E. Fault. Time delays, cost overruns, design
inadequacies or other problems with performance of the
designer may result in the designer being held "at fault." The
owner shall determine if the designer is to be held at fault as
provided in R.S. 38:2313.B.(5).

AUTHORITY NOTE: Promulgated in accordance with R.S.
39:1410.

HISTORICAL NOTE: Promulgated by the Office of the
Governor, Division of Administration, Facility Planning and
Control, LR 8:478 (September 1982), amended LR 11:855
(September 1985), LR 13:656 (November 1987), LR 31:1081 (May
2005), LR 46:
Family Impact Statement

1. The Effect of this Rule on the Stability of the Family. This Rule will have no effect on the stability of the family.
2. The Effect of this Rule on the Authority and Rights of Parents Regarding the Education and Supervision of their Children. This Rule will have no effect on the authority and rights of parents regarding the education and supervision of their children.
3. The Effect of this Rule on the Functioning of the Family. This Rule will have no effect on the functioning of the family.
4. The Effect of this Rule on Family Earnings and Family Budget. This Rule will have no effect on family earnings and family budget.
5. The Effect of this Rule on the Behavior and Personal Responsibility of Children. This Rule will have no effect on the behavior and personal responsibility of children.
6. The Effect of this Rule on the Ability of the Family or Local Government to Perform the Function as Contained in the Proposed Rule. This Rule will have no effect on the ability of the family or local government to perform the function as contained in the proposed Rule.

Poverty Impact Statement

The proposed rulemaking will have no impact on poverty as described in R.S. 49:973.

Small Business Analysis

The Office of Facility Planning and Control has considered all methods of reducing the impact of the proposed rule on small business as noted in R.S. 49:965.6, and have determined the proposed Rule will not have any impact on small business.

Public Comments

Interested persons may submit comments to Barry Hickman, Facility Planning and Control, 1450 Poydras St., Suite 1130, New Orleans, LA 70112. Written comments will be accepted through January 10, 2020.

Mark A. Moses
Director

FISCAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT
FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES
RULE TITLE: Procedure Manual

I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT UNITS (Summary)

There are no anticipated implementation costs or savings for state or local governmental units as a result of the proposed rule changes. The proposed updated rules amend the language of the existing Subchapter A, Procedure Manual, renaming it Designer Contracts. These changes update and clarify the rules on budget, compensation, payments, contractual terms, and insurance for the designer’s contract. The main aspects, such as designer fee structure remain the same, though the compensation section was reorganized to better group the different means of designer compensation such as the main fee, additional services, and reimbursable expenses. The requirements for sections regarding detailed technical responsibilities and specific insurance minimum coverages, however, have been repealed and relegated to the more appropriate procedure manual, which is published on Facility Planning and Control’s website. Sections regarding contractual law, which were for informational purposes only, were also relegated to the procedure manual, as part of the contractual terms.

II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS OF STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL UNITS (Summary)

There is no anticipated direct material effect on state or local governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND/OR ECONOMIC BENEFITS TO DIRECTLY AFFECTED PERSONS OR NONGOVERNMENTAL GROUPS (Summary)

There is no anticipated material impact to directly affected persons or nongovernmental groups as a result of the proposed rule change.

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT (Summary)

There is no anticipated direct material effect on competition and employment as a result of the proposed administrative rules.

Mark A. Moses John D. Carpenter
Director Legislative Fiscal Officer
1912#019 Legislative Fiscal Office